arXiv:0910.0999v2 [math.AG] 11 Sep 2011

The group of automorphisms of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$

V. V. Bavula

Abstract

The *Jacobian* algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is obtained from the Weyl algebra A_n by inverting (not in the sense of Ore) of certain elements ($\mathbb{A}_n$ is neither a Noetherian algebra nor a domain, $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains the algebra $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \int_1, \ldots, \int_n\rangle$ of polynomial integro-differential operators). The group of automorphisms $\mathbb{G}_n$ of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is found ($\mathbb{G}_n$ is a huge group):

$$\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n) \supseteq S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \ltimes \cdots \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}_{2^n-1 \text{ times}},$$

$$\mathbb{G}_1 \simeq (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K),$$

where S_n is the symmetric group, $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the n-dimensional algebraic torus, $\Xi_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a group given explicitly, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_n$ (which is huge), $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is the group of invertible infinite dimensional matrices, and $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ is a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}$ copies of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n$. This result may help in understanding of the structure of the groups of automorphisms of the Weyl algebra A_n and the polynomial algebra P_{2n}. Explicit generators are found for the group $\mathbb{G}_1$. The stabilizers in $\mathbb{G}_n$ of all the ideals of $\mathbb{A}_n$ are found, they are subgroups of *finite* index in $\mathbb{G}_n$. It is shown that the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ has trivial centre. An explicit inversion formula is given for the elements of $\mathbb{G}_n$. Defining relations are found for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Key Words: the Jacobian algebras, the group of automorphisms, the inner automorphisms, the Fredholm operators, the index of an operator, stabilizers, algebraic group, semi-direct product of groups, the prime spectrum, the minimal primes.

Mathematics subject classification 2000: 16W20, 14E07, 14H37, 14R10, 14R15.

Contents

1. Introduction.
2. Preliminaries on the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$.
3. The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras.
4. Certain subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.
5. The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1)$.
6. The group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$.
7. The group of automorphisms of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.
8. Stabilizers in $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of the ideals of $\mathbb{A}_n$.

1 Introduction

Throughout, ring means an associative ring with 1; module means a left module; $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ is the set of natural numbers; K is a field of characteristic zero and K^* is its group of units; $P_n := K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra over K; $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \partial_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ are the partial derivatives (K-linear derivations) of P_n; $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is the algebra of all K-linear maps from P_n to P_n and $\mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ is its group of units (i.e. the group of all the invertible linear maps from P_n to P_n); the subalgebra $A_n := K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\rangle$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is called the n'th *Weyl* algebra.

Definition: The *Jacobian algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n$ is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ generated by the Weyl algebra A_n and the elements $H_1^{-1}, \ldots, H_n^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ where

$$H_1 := \partial_1 x_1, \ldots, H_n := \partial_n x_n.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_1(i) \simeq \mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathbb{A}_1(i) := K\langle x_i, \partial_i, H_i^{-1}\rangle \simeq \mathbb{A}_1$. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains all the integrations $\int_i : P_n \rightarrow P_n$, $p \mapsto \int p\, dx_i$, since $\int_i = x_i H_i^{-1} : x^\alpha \mapsto (\alpha_i + 1)^{-1} x_i x^\alpha$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains the algebra $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \int_1, \ldots, \int_n\rangle$ of polynomial integro-differential operators. This fact explains why the algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ and A_n have different properties and why the group $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ of units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is huge. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. In particular, it is neither left nor right localization of the Weyl algebra A_n in the sense of Ore. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is not simple, its classical Krull dimension is n, and it contains the algebra of infinite dimensional matrices, [6]. The Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ appeared in my study of the group of polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture, which is a conjecture that makes sense *only* for the polynomial algebras in the class of all the commutative algebras, this was proved in [7]. In order to solve the Jacobian Conjecture, it is reasonable to believe that one should create a technique which makes sense *only* for polynomials; the Jacobian algebras are a step in this direction (they exist for polynomials but make no sense even for Laurent polynomials). The Jacobian algebras were studied in [6]. A closely related to the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is the so-called algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ of one-sided inverses of the polynomial algebra P_n. The reason for that is that the derivation ∂_i is a left (but not two-sided) inverse of the ith integration $\int_i$, i.e. $\partial_i \int_i = \mathrm{id}_{P_n}$.

Definition, [10]. The *algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *of one-sided inverses* of P_n is an algebra generated over a field K by $2n$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \ldots, y_n$ that satisfy the defining relations:

$$y_1 x_1 = \cdots = y_n x_n = 1, \;\; [x_i, y_j] = [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \;\text{ for all } i \neq j,$$

where $[a, b] := ab - ba$ is the algebra commutator of elements a and b. Let $G_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{S}_n)$.

By the very definition, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ is obtained from the polynomial algebra P_n by adding commuting, left (but not two-sided) inverses of its canonical generators. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a well-known primitive algebra [24], p. 35, Example 2. Over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, the completion of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is the *Toeplitz algebra* which is the C^*-algebra generated by a unilateral shift on the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ (note that $y_1 = x_1^*$). The Toeplitz algebra is the universal C^*-algebra generated by a proper isometry.

Example, [10]. Consider a vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K e_i$ and two shift operators on V, $X : e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$ and $Y : e_i \mapsto e_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 0$ where $e_{-1} := 0$. The subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ generated by the operators X and Y is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ ($X \mapsto x$, $Y \mapsto y$). By taking the n'th tensor power $V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} K e_\alpha$ of V we see that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V^{\otimes n})$ generated by the $2n$ shifts $X_1, Y_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_n$ that act in 'perpendicular directions.'

The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ are fundamental non-Noetherian algebras, they are universal non-Noetherian algebras of their own kind in a similar way as the polynomial algebras are universal in the class of all the commutative algebras and the Weyl algebras are universal in the class of algebras of differential operators.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ often appears as a subalgebra or a factor algebra of many non-Noetherian algebras. For example, $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a factor algebra of certain non-Noetherian down-up algebras as was shown by Jordan [25] (see also Benkart and Roby [21]; Kirkman, Musson, and Passman [29]; Kirkman and Kuzmanovich [28]). The Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ where

$$y_1 := H_1^{-1}\partial_1, \ldots, y_n := H_n^{-1}\partial_n.$$

Moreover, the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ generated by the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ and the $2n$ invertible elements $H_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, H_n^{\pm 1}$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains the subalgebra

$K\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots , \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \int_1, \ldots , \int_n\rangle$ which is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are $2n$ and $3n$ respectively, [11] and [6].

- (Corollary 4.13) *Every automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.*
- (Proposition 4.9) 1. *The group G_n is a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$.*
  2. $G_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n \,|\, \sigma(\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathbb{S}_n\}$.

The group G_n of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is found in [11], it is a huge group.

- (Theorem 5.1, [11]) $G_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, *where S_n is the symmetric group, $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the n-dimensional algebraic torus, and* $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ *is the group of inner automorphisms of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$.

The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ were studied in [10] and [11]. For the group G_n explicit generators are found in [11], [12], and [13] respectively for $n = 1$, $n = 2$, and $n > 2$.

Ignoring the non-Noetherian property, the Jacobian algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$, $\mathbb{S}_n$, the Weyl algebras A_n, and the polynomial algebras P_{2n} belong to the same class of algebras (see below a reason why this is the case) – this is a correct approach for studying the algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$. It is an experimental fact [10] that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ has properties that are a mixture of the properties of the polynomial algebra P_2 in two variable and the *first Weyl* algebra A_1, which is not surprising when we look at their defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} P_2: &\quad yx - xy = 0;\\ A_1: &\quad yx - xy = 1;\\ \mathbb{S}_1: &\quad yx = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The same is true for their higher analogues: $P_{2n} = P_2^{\otimes n}$, $A_n := A_1^{\otimes n}$ (the n'th *Weyl* algebra), and $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) &\overset{[10]}{=} 2n = \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(P_{2n}),\\ \mathrm{gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) &\overset{[10]}{=} n = \mathrm{gldim}(A_n),\\ \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{S}_n) &\overset{[10]}{=} 2n = \mathrm{GK}\,(A_n) = \mathrm{GK}\,(P_{2n}), \end{aligned}$$

where cl.Kdim, gldim, and GK stand for the classical Krull dimension, the global homological dimension, and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension respectively. In this paper, a reason is found why the algebras A_n, $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ are 'similar' – they are *generalized Weyl algebras* (Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3). The big difference between the algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ on the one side and the algebras P_{2n} and A_n on the other side is that the first are neither left nor right Noetherian and are not domains either. This fact can also be explained using the presentations of the algebras as generalized Weyl algebras. In contrast to the Weyl algebra A_n, the defining algebra endomorphisms for the algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ are *not* automorphisms, and as a result these algebras acquire some pathological properties (like not being Noetherian).

The aim of this paper is to find the group $\mathbb{G}_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

- (Theorem 7.7) $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.
- (Corollary 7.3) $\mathbb{G}_n \supseteq \mathbb{G}'_n := S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \ltimes \cdots \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}_{2^n-1 \text{ times}}$ *and* $\mathbb{U}_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}$,
- (Theorem 5.7) $\mathbb{G}_1 \simeq (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$,

where S_n is the symmetric group, $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the n-dimensional algebraic torus, $\Xi_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbb{U}_n$ are groups given explicitly, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, and $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is the group of all the invertible infinite dimensional matrices of the type $1+M_\infty(K)$ where the algebra (without 1) of infinite dimensional matrices $M_\infty(K) := \varinjlim M_d(K) = \bigcup_{d\geq 1} M_d(K)$ is the injective limit of the matrix algebras. A semi-direct product $H_1 \ltimes H_2 \ltimes \cdots \ltimes H_m$ of several groups means that $H_1 \ltimes (H_2 \ltimes (\cdots \ltimes (H_{m-1} \ltimes H_m)\cdots))$. In particular, we found explicit generators for the group $\mathbb{G}_1$. In 1968, for the first Weyl algebra A_1, explicit generators for its group of automorphisms were found by Dixmier, [22]. For the higher Weyl algebras A_n, to find their groups of automorphisms and generators is an old open problem.

The proof of Theorem 7.7 is rather long (and non-trivial) and based upon several results proved in this paper (and in [6, 10, 11]) which are interesting on their own. Let me explain briefly the logical structure of the proof. There are two cases to consider when $n=1$ and $n>1$. The proofs of both cases are based on rather different ideas, and the first case serves as the base of an induction for the second one. The case $n=1$ is a kind of a degeneration of the second case and is much more easier. The key point in finding the group $\mathbb{G}_1$ is to use the Fredholm linear maps/operators, their *indices*, and the fact that each automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is determined by its action on the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (or $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$):

- (Theorem 4.12) (Rigidity of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$) *Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*
  1. $\sigma = \tau$.
  2. $\sigma(x_1) = \tau(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_n) = \tau(x_n)$.
  3. $\sigma(y_1) = \tau(y_1), \ldots, \sigma(y_n) = \tau(y_n)$.

For $n>1$, one of the key ideas in finding the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is to use an induction on n and the fact that the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ has the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$. The ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is the sum $\mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$ of all the height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (there are exactly n of them, and they are found in [6]). By the uniqueness of $\mathfrak{a}_n$, there is the natural group homomorphism

$$\xi : \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \quad \sigma \mapsto (\xi(\sigma) : a + \mathfrak{a}_n \mapsto \sigma(a) + \mathfrak{a}_n),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a simple algebra which is isomorphic to a certain localization of the Weyl algebra A_n (and has Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $3n$). Note that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the Weyl algebra A_n is $2n$. Briefly, the problem of finding the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is equivalent to the problem of finding the kernel and the image of the group homomorphism ξ. As the first step in finding the the image of ξ, the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is found (Theorem 6.1), and then using a delicate argument on multiplicities of the growth of the number of the common eigenvalues of a certain commuting family of differential operators we find the image of the homomorphism ξ explicitly (Theorem 7.2.(2), note that $\mathrm{im}(\xi) \neq \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$). As a consequence, we prove that

- (Theorem 7.2.(1)) $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$.

Then using two characterizations of the elements of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ via the invertible linear maps on the polynomial algebra P_n (Corollary 4.8.(1) and Lemma 7.1) we prove that

- (Theorem 7.2.(3)) $\ker(\xi) \subseteq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.

This is the most difficult part of the proof of finding the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. From this fact, it is relatively easy to show that

- (Theorem 7.6) $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n) = \mathbb{U}_n^0 \ltimes \ker(\xi)$,

and then that $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ (Theorem 7.7).

The structure of the group $\mathbb{G}_1 \simeq (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ (Theorem 5.7) is yet another confirmation of 'similarity' of the algebras P_2, A_1, $\mathbb{A}_1$, and $\mathbb{S}_1$. The groups of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra P_2 and the Weyl algebra A_1 were found by Jung [38], Van der Kulk [39], and

Dixmier [22] respectively. These two groups have almost identical structure, they are 'infinite GL-groups' in the sense that they are generated by the algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}^1$ and by the obvious automorphisms: $x \mapsto x + \lambda y^i$, $y \mapsto y$; $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y + \lambda x^i$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in K$; which are sort of 'elementary infinite dimensional matrices' (i.e. 'infinite dimensional transvections'). The same picture as for the groups $\mathbb{G}_1 \simeq (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{S}_1) = \mathbb{T}^1 \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$, [11]. In prime characteristic, the group of automorphism of the Weyl algebra A_1 was found by Makar-Limanov [32] (see also [9] for a different approach and for further developments).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some useful results from [10] are collected which are used later. In Section 3, it is proved that the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras. As a result, defining relations are found for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

In Section 4, several subgroups of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ are introduced, a useful description (Corollary 4.8.(1)) of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is given, and a criterion of equality of two elements of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is proved (Theorem 4.12).

In Section 5, the group $\mathbb{G}_1$ is found (Theorem 5.7), and explicit generators for the group $\mathbb{G}_1$ are given (Theorem 5.7.(4)).

In Section 6, the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)$, and $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ are found (Theorem 6.1).

In Section 7, the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$, and $\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ are found (Theorem 7.7, Theorem 7.2, Theorem 7.6, Corollary 7.8). Several corollaries are obtained. It is proved that the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and $\ker(\xi)$ have trivial centre (Theorem 7.15, Theorem 7.17). Explicit inversion formulae for the elements of the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and G_n are found, (62) and (65). The groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ are described (Corollary 7.13). These descriptions are instrumental in finding explicit generators for the groups G_n, $\mathbb{S}_n^*$, and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ in [13].

The Problem/Conjecture of Dixmier [22] states that *every algebra endomorphism of the Weyl algebra A_n is an automorphism*. The Weyl algebra A_n is a simple algebra but the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is not. Moreover, its classical Krull dimension is n [6]. Theorem 7.18 has flavour of the Problem of Dixmier, it states that *no proper prime factor algebra of $\mathbb{A}_n$ is embeddable into $\mathbb{A}_n$*.

In Section 8, the stabilizers in the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ of all the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ are computed (Theorem 8.2). In particular, the stabilizers of all the prime ideals of $\mathbb{A}_n$ are found (Corollary 8.4.(2)).

The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is of height n, [6].

- (Corollary 8.4.(3)) *The ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is the only nonzero, prime, $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.*
- (Corollary 8.4) *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then its stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a maximal subgroup of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ iff $n > 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is of height 1, and, in this case, $[\mathbb{G}_n : \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p})] = n$.*
- (Corollary 8.3) *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal of $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then its stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a})$ has finite index in the group $\mathbb{G}_n$.*
- (Corollary 8.5) *If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a generic ideal of $\mathbb{A}_n$ then its stabilizer is written via the wreath products of symmetric groups:*

  $$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a}) = (S_m \times \prod_{i=1}^{t} (S_{h_i} \wr S_{n_i})) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n),$$

  where $\wr$ stands for the wreath *product of groups.*

Corollary 8.6 classifies all the proper $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, there are exactly n of them.

2 Preliminaries on the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$

In this section, we collect some results without proofs on the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ from [10] that will be used in this paper, their proofs can be found in [10].

Clearly, $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n) \simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathbb{S}_1(i) := K\langle x_i, y_i \,|\, y_i x_i = 1\rangle \simeq \mathbb{S}_1$ and $\mathbb{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} K x^\alpha y^\beta$ where $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $y^\beta := y_1^{\beta_1} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n}$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ contains two polynomial subalgebras P_n and $Q_n := K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ and is equal, as a vector space, to their tensor product $P_n \otimes Q_n$. Note that also the Weyl algebra A_n is a tensor product (as a vector space) $P_n \otimes K[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ of its two polynomial subalgebras.

When $n = 1$, we usually drop the subscript '1' if this does not lead to confusion. So, $\mathbb{S}_1 = K\langle x, y \,|\, yx = 1\rangle = \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 0} K x^i y^j$. For each natural number $d \geq 1$, let $M_d(K) := \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{d-1} K E_{ij}$ be the algebra of d-dimensional matrices where $\{E_{ij}\}$ are the matrix units, and let

$$M_\infty(K) := \varinjlim M_d(K) = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} K E_{ij}$$

be the algebra (without 1) of infinite dimensional matrices. The algebra $M_\infty(K) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\infty(K)_k$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded where $M_\infty(K)_k := \bigoplus_{i-j=k} K E_{ij}$ ($M_\infty(K)_k M_\infty(K)_l \subseteq M_\infty(K)_{k+l}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$). The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ contains the ideal $F := \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} K E_{ij}$, where

$$E_{ij} := x^i y^j - x^{i+1} y^{j+1}, \;\; i, j \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

For all natural numbers i, j, k, and l, $E_{ij} E_{kl} = \delta_{jk} E_{il}$ where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta function. The ideal F is an algebra (without 1) isomorphic to the algebra $M_\infty(K)$ via $E_{ij} \mapsto E_{ij}$. In particular, the algebra $F = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{1,k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded where $F_{1,k} := \bigoplus_{i-j=k} K E_{ij}$ ($F_{1,k} F_{1,l} \subseteq F_{1,k+l}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$). For all $i, j \geq 0$,

$$x E_{ij} = E_{i+1,j}, \;\; y E_{ij} = E_{i-1,j} \quad (E_{-1,j} := 0), \tag{2}$$

$$E_{ij} x = E_{i,j-1}, \;\; E_{ij} y = E_{i,j+1} \quad (E_{i,-1} := 0), \tag{3}$$

$$E_{i+1,j+1} x = x E_{i,j}, \;\; E_{ij} y = y E_{i+1,j+1}. \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_1 = K \oplus x K[x] \oplus y K[y] \oplus F, \tag{5}$$

the direct sum of vector spaces. Then

$$\mathbb{S}_1 / F \simeq K[x, x^{-1}] =: L_1, \;\; x \mapsto x, \;\; y \mapsto x^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

since $yx = 1$, $xy = 1 - E_{00}$ and $E_{00} \in F$.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_1(i)$ contains the ideal

$$F_n := F^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} K E_{\alpha\beta}, \;\; \text{where } E_{\alpha\beta} := \prod_{i=1}^n E_{\alpha_i \beta_i}(i), \; E_{\alpha_i \beta_i}(i) := x_i^{\alpha_i} y_i^{\beta_i} - x_i^{\alpha_i+1} y_i^{\beta_i+1}.$$

Note that $E_{\alpha\beta} E_{\gamma\rho} = \delta_{\beta\gamma} E_{\alpha\rho}$ for all elements $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^n$ where $\delta_{\beta\gamma}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

Proposition 2.1 *[10] The polynomial algebra P_n is the only faithful, simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module.*

In more detail, ${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}P_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n / (\sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} K x^\alpha \overline{1}$, $\overline{1} := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i$; and the action of the elements $E_{\beta\gamma}$ and the canonical generators of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ on the polynomial algebra P_n is given by the rule:

$$x_i * x^\alpha = x^{\alpha + e_i}, \;\; y_i * x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x^{\alpha - e_i} & \text{if } \alpha_i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0, \end{cases} \;\; \text{and } E_{\beta\gamma} * x^\alpha = \delta_{\gamma\alpha} x^\beta,$$

where $e_1 := (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n := (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ is the canonical basis for the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} e_i$. We identify the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ with its image in the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ of all the K-linear maps from the vector space P_n to itself, i.e. $\mathbb{S}_n \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$.

The involution η on $\mathbb{S}_n$. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ admits the *involution*

$$\eta : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto y_i, \;\; y_i \mapsto x_i, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

i.e. it is a K-algebra anti-isomorphism ($\eta(ab) = \eta(b)\eta(a)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n$) such that $\eta^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_n}$, the identity map on $\mathbb{S}_n$. So, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is *self-dual* (i.e. it is isomorphic to its opposite algebra, $\eta : \mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n^{op}$). The involution η acts on the 'matrix' ring F_n as the transposition,

$$\eta(E_{\alpha\beta}) = E_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{7}$$

The canonical generators x_i, y_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) determine the ascending filtration $\{\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ on the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ in the obvious way (i.e. by the total degree of the generators): $\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i} := \bigoplus_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq i} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$ where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ ($\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq j} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 0$). Then $\dim(\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}) = \binom{i+2n}{2n}$ for $i \geq 0$, and so the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is equal to $2n$. It is not difficult to show that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. Moreover, it contains infinite direct sums of left and right ideals (see [10]).

- *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is central, prime, and catenary. Every nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$.*
- *The ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute ($IJ = JI$); and the set of ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ satisfy the a.c.c..*
- *The classical Krull dimension* cl.Kdim$(\mathbb{S}_n)$ *of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$.*
- *Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Then the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is left (or right) Noetherian iff the ideal I contains all the height one primes of $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

The set of height 1 primes of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Consider the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 := F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}, \; \mathfrak{p}_2 := \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n := \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes F.$$

Then $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes (\mathbb{S}_1/F) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = F_n$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

- *The set $\mathcal{H}_1$ of height 1 prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$.*

Let $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$. Then the factor algebra

$$\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq (\mathbb{S}_1/F)^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] = K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] =: L_n \tag{8}$$

is a Laurent polynomial algebra in n variables, and so $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a prime ideal of height and co-height n of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The algebra L_n is commutative, and so

$$[a, b] \in \mathfrak{a}_n \;\text{ for all }\; a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n. \tag{9}$$

That is $[\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{S}_n] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$. In particular, $[\mathbb{S}_1, \mathbb{S}_1] \subseteq F$. Since $\eta(\mathfrak{a}_n) = \mathfrak{a}_n$, the involution of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ induces the *automorphism* $\overline{\eta}$ of the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$ by the rule:

$$\overline{\eta} : L_n \to L_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto x_i^{-1}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{10}$$

It follows that $\eta(ab) - \eta(a)\eta(b) \in \mathfrak{a}_n$ for all elements $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

3 The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras

In this section, we show that the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras (Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4). As a result, we have explicit defining relations for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, they are used in checking of existence of various automorphisms and anti-automorphisms. This fact explains why the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$, $\mathbb{A}_n$, and A_n have 'similar' properties. We start by recalling some properties of generalized Weyl algebras.

Generalized Weyl Algebras. Let D be a ring, $\sigma=(\sigma_1,...,\sigma_n)$ be an n-tuple of commuting ring endomorphisms of D, and $a=(a_1,...,a_n)$ be an n-tuple of elements of D. The *generalized Weyl algebra* $A=D(\sigma,a)$ (briefly, GWA) of degree n is a ring generated by D and $2n$ elements $x_1,...,x_n$, $y_1,...,$ y_n subject to the defining relations [3], [4]:

$$y_ix_i=a_i, \qquad x_iy_i=\sigma_i(a_i),$$
$$\sigma_i(d)x_i=x_id, \qquad dy_i=y_i\sigma_i(d), \quad d\in D,$$

$$[x_i,x_j]=[y_i,y_j]=[x_i,y_j]=0, \quad i\neq j,$$

where $[x,y]=xy-yx$. We say that a and σ are the sets of *defining* elements and endomorphisms of A respectively. When all σ_i are automorphisms, the GWAs are also known as *hyperbolic rings*, see the book of Rosenberg [36]. For a vector $k=(k_1,...,k_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, let $v_k=v_{k_1}(1)\cdots v_{k_n}(n)$ where, for $1\leq i\leq n$ and $m\geq 0$: $v_m(i)=x_i^m$, $v_{-m}(i)=y_i^m$, $v_0(i)=1$. It follows from the definition that $A=\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}A_k$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded ring ($A_kA_e\subseteq A_{k+e}$, for all $k,e\in\mathbb{Z}^n$), where $A_k=\bigoplus_{l,k+l\in\mathbb{N}^n}v_{-l}Dv_{k+l}$. The tensor product (over the ground field) $A\otimes A'$ of generalized Weyl algebras of degree n and n' respectively is a GWA of degree $n+n'$:

$$A\otimes A'=D\otimes D'((\tau,\tau'),(a,a')).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be a polynomial algebra $K[H_1,\dots,H_n]$ in n indeterminates and let $\sigma=(\sigma_1,...,\sigma_n)$ be the n-tuple of commuting automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\sigma_i(H_i)=H_i-1$ and $\sigma_i(H_j)=H_j$, for $i\neq j$. The algebra homomorphism

$$A_n\rightarrow\mathcal{P}_n((\sigma_1,...,\sigma_n),(H_1,\dots,H_n)),\;\; x_i\mapsto x_i,\;\;\partial_i\mapsto y_i,\;\; i=1,\dots,n, \qquad (11)$$

is an isomorphism. We identify the Weyl algebra A_n with the GWA above via this isomorphism. Note that $H_i=\partial_ix_i=x_i\partial_i+1$. Denote by S_n the multiplicative submonoid of $\mathcal{P}_n$ generated by the elements H_i+j, $i=1,\dots,n$, and $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. It follows from the above presentation of the Weyl algebra A_n as a GWA that S_n is an Ore set in A_n, and, using the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading, that the (two-sided) localization $\mathcal{A}_n:=S_n^{-1}A_n$ of the Weyl algebra A_n at S_n is the *skew Laurent polynomial ring*

$$\mathcal{A}_n=S_n^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n[x_1^{\pm1},\dots,x_n^{\pm1};\sigma_1,...,\sigma_n] \qquad (12)$$

with coefficients from the algebra

$$\mathcal{L}_n:=S_n^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n=K[H_1^{\pm1},(H_1\pm1)^{-1},(H_1\pm2)^{-1},\dots,H_n^{\pm1},(H_n\pm1)^{-1},(H_n\pm2)^{-1},\dots],$$

which is the localization of $\mathcal{P}_n$ at S_n. We identify the Weyl algebra A_n with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_n$ via the monomorphism,

$$A_n\rightarrow\mathcal{A}_n,\;\; x_i\mapsto x_i,\;\;\partial_i\mapsto H_ix_i^{-1},\;\; i=1,\dots,n.$$

Let k_n be the n'th *Weyl skew field*, that is the full ring of quotients of the n'th Weyl algebra A_n (it exists by Goldie's Theorem since A_n is a Noetherian domain). Then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a K-subalgebra of k_n generated by the elements x_i, x_i^{-1}, H_i and H_i^{-1}, $i=1,\dots,n$ since, for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$(H_i\mp j)^{-1}=x_i^{\pm j}H_i^{-1}x_i^{\mp j},\;\; i=1,\dots,n. \qquad (13)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_n\simeq\mathcal{A}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{A}_1$ (n times). A K-algebra R has the *endomorphism property over* K if, for each simple R-module M, $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ is algebraic over K.

Theorem 3.1 *[5] Let K be a field of characteristic zero.*

1. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a simple, affine, Noetherian domain.*
2. *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A}_n) = 3n$ $(\neq 2n = \mathrm{GK}\,(A_n))$.*
3. *The (left and right) global dimension $\mathrm{gl.dim}(\mathcal{A}_n) = n$.*
4. *The (left and right) Krull dimension $\mathrm{K.dim}(\mathcal{A}_n) = n$.*
5. *Let $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{gl.dim}$ or $\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{K.dim}$. Let R be a Noetherian K-algebra with $\mathrm{d}(R) < \infty$ such that $R[t]$, the polynomial ring in a central indeterminate, has the endomorphism property over K. Then $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes R) = \mathrm{d}(R) + 1$. If, in addition, the field K is algebraically closed and uncountable, and the algebra R is affine, then $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{A}_n \otimes R) = \mathrm{d}(R) + n$.*

$\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathcal{A}_1) = 3$ is due to A. Joseph [26], p. 336; see also [31], Example 4.11, p. 45.

It is an experimental fact that many small quantum groups are GWAs. For more about GWAs and their generalizations the interested reader can refer to [1, 2, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 27, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37].

Suppose that A is a K-algebra that admits two elements x and y with $yx = 1$. The element $xy \in A$ is an idempotent, $(xy)^2 = xy$, and so the set $xyAxy$ is a K-algebra where xy is its identity element. Consider the linear maps $\sigma = \sigma_{x,y}, \tau = \tau_{x,y} : A \to A$ which are defined as follows

$$\sigma(a) = xay, \quad \tau(a) = yax. \tag{14}$$

Then $\tau\sigma = \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\sigma\tau(a) = xy \cdot a \cdot xy$, and so

$$A = \sigma(A) \bigoplus \ker(\tau). \tag{15}$$

Each element $a \in A$ is a unique sum $a_1 + a_2$ where $a_1 \in \sigma(A)$ and $a_2 \in \ker(\tau)$. Below, formulae for the elements a_1 and a_2 are given. The map $\sigma : A \to A$ is an algebra *monomorphism* ($\sigma(ab) = xaby = xay \cdot xby = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$) with $\sigma(1) = xy$. The element $\sigma\tau \in \mathrm{End}_K(A)$ is an idempotent, then so is $\mathrm{id}_A - \sigma\tau$. Since $\sigma\tau(\ker(\tau)) = 0$ and $\sigma\tau\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)$, we have $\sigma(A) = \mathrm{im}(\sigma\tau)$ and $\ker(\tau) = \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{id}_A - \sigma\tau)$. For each element $a \in A$,

$$a = \sigma\tau(a) + (\mathrm{id}_A - \sigma\tau)(a) \ \text{ where } \ \sigma\tau(a) \in \sigma(A), \ \ (\mathrm{id}_A - \sigma\tau)(a) \in \ker(\tau).$$

Note that $xyAxy \subseteq \sigma(A) = \sigma(1 \cdot A \cdot 1) = xy\sigma(A)xy \subseteq xyAxy$, and so $\sigma(A) = xyAxy$. The map $\sigma : A \to \sigma(A) = xyAxy$ is an isomorphism of algebras where $\sigma(1) = xy$ and its inverse map $\tau : \sigma(A) \to A$ is an algebra isomorphism with $\tau(xy) = 1$.

Suppose that the algebra A contains a subalgebra D such that $\sigma(D) \subseteq D$ and $\tau(D) \subseteq D$ (and so $xy = \sigma(1) \in D$), and that the algebra A is generated by D, x, and y. Since $yx = 1$, we have $x^iD_D \simeq D$ and ${}_DDy^i \simeq D$. It follows from the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} yx &= 1, & xy &= \sigma(1), \\ xd &= \sigma(d)x, & dy &= y\sigma(d), \quad d \in D, \end{aligned}$$

that $A = \sum_{i\geq 1} y^iD + \sum_{i\geq 0} Dx^i$. Suppose, in addition, that the sum is a *direct* one. Then the algebra A is the GWA $D(\sigma, 1)$.

Lemma 3.2 *Keep the assumptions as above, i.e. $A = D\langle x, y\rangle = \bigoplus_{i\geq 1} y^iD \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Dx^i$, $\tau(D) \subseteq D$ and $\sigma(D) \subseteq D$. Then $A = D(\sigma, 1)$. If, in addition, the element xy is central in D. Then $Dx^i = x^iD$ and $Dy^i = y^iD$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the equalities for $i = 1$. $xD = \sigma(D)x \subseteq Dx = Dxyx = xyDx = x\tau(D) \subseteq xD$ and so $xD = Dx$. Similarly, $Dy = y\sigma(D) \subseteq yD = yxyD = yDxy = \tau(D)y \subseteq Dy$, and so $Dy = yD$. $\Box$

The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras. Consider the case $n = 1$. The subalgebra $\mathbb{F}_1 := K + F = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{1,i}$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra where $\mathbb{F}_{1,0} := K + F_{1,0} = K \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} E_{ii}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{1,k} := F_{1,k} = \bigoplus_{i-j=k} KE_{ij}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{F}_{1,k}\mathbb{F}_{1,l} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{1,k+l}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (2) and (3), $\sigma(\mathbb{F}_{1,0}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ where σ is as in (14). The K-algebra monomorphism

$$\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{1,0} \to \mathbb{F}_{1,0}, \;\; E_{ii} \mapsto E_{i+1,i+1}, \;\; i \geq 0, \;\; 1 \mapsto xy = 1 - E_{00}, \tag{16}$$

is not an automorphism since $\mathbb{F}_{1,0} = \mathrm{im}(\sigma) \oplus KE_{00}$. The algebra $\mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ is a commutative algebra which is generated by the elements E_{ii}, $i \geq 0$, that satisfy the defining relations: $E_{ii}^2 = E_{ii}$ and $E_{ii}E_{jj} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, KE_{ii} is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{F}_{1,0}$. The algebra $\mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ is not Noetherian since it contains the infinite direct sum of ideals $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} KE_{ii}$.

Note that $yx = 1$, $xy = \sigma(1) = 1 - E_{00}$, $xE_{ii} = E_{i+1,i+1}x = \sigma(E_{ii})x$, and $E_{ii}y = yE_{i+1,i+1} = y\sigma(E_{ii})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, it follows from (2), (3), and (5) that the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 hold, and so the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is the GWA $\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{F}_{1,0}(\sigma, 1)$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{S}_{1,i}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded where

$$\mathbb{S}_{1,i} = \begin{cases} x^i\mathbb{F}_{1,0} = \mathbb{F}_{1,0}x^i & \text{if } i \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{F}_{1,0} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ y^{-i}\mathbb{F}_{1,0} = \mathbb{F}_{1,0}y^{-i} & \text{if } i \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

The kernel of the map $\tau : \mathbb{S}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1$, $a \mapsto yax$, is

$$\ker(\tau) = KE_{00} + \sum_{i\geq 1}(KE_{0i} + KE_{i0}). \tag{17}$$

Indeed, since $\tau(F) \subseteq F$ and the induced map $\overline{\tau} : \mathbb{S}_1/F \to \mathbb{S}_1/F$ is the identity map, the kernel of the map τ coincides with the kernel of its restriction to F, and so (17) is obvious since $\tau(E_{ij}) = E_{i-1,j-1}$. The kernel of the map $\tau : \mathbb{S}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1$ is not an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$. This means that the map τ is not an algebra endomorphism but its restriction to the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ of $\mathbb{S}_1$ *is* a K-algebra epimorphism:

$$\tau : \mathbb{F}_{1,0} \to \mathbb{F}_{1,0}, \;\; E_{ii} \mapsto E_{i-1,i-1} \;\; (i \geq 0), \;\; 1 \mapsto 1, \tag{18}$$

with $\ker(\tau|_{\mathbb{F}_{1,0}}) = KE_{00}$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_{1,0}$, $dx^i = x^i\tau^i(d)$ and $y^id = \tau^i(d)y^i$.

For $n \geq 1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathbb{S}_1(i) = \mathbb{F}_{1,0}(i)(\sigma_i, 1)$, and $\mathbb{S}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_1(i) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_{1,0}(i)(\sigma_i, 1)$ is the GWA

$$\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{F}_{n,0}((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n), (1, \ldots, 1)),$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{n,0} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}_{1,0}(i)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{1,0}(i) := K + F_{1,0}(i) = K \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} E_{kk}(i)$.

Lemma 3.3 *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{F}_{n,0}((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n), (1, \ldots, 1))$ is a generalized Weyl algebra. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded where $\mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha} = \mathbb{F}_{n,0}v_\alpha = v_\alpha\mathbb{F}_{n,0}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.*

For each algebra $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$, let τ_i be the corresponding map τ which is extended to the map $\tau_i : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n$, $a \mapsto y_iax_i$. It is not an algebra endomorphism but its restriction to the subalgebra $\mathbb{F}_{n,0}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is* a K-algebra epimorphism, $\tau_i(\mathbb{F}_{n,0}) = \mathbb{F}_{n,0}$, with $\ker(\tau_i|_{\mathbb{F}_{n,0}}) = KE_{00}(i) \bigotimes \bigotimes_{j\neq i} \mathbb{F}_{1,0}(j)$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_{n,0}$, $dx_i^j = x_i^j\tau_i^j(d)$ and $y_i^jd = \tau_i^j(d)y_i^j$.

The Jacobian algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ are generalized Weyl algebras. Consider the case $n = 1$ and the commutative subalgebra $C_1 := \mathcal{P}_1 \bigoplus F_{1,0} = K[H] \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{ii}$ of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$.

Then $\mathbb{F}_{1,0} = K + F_{1,0} \subset C_1$. Then $C_1/F_{1,0} \simeq \mathcal{P}_1 = K[H]$. As an abstract algebra, the commutative algebra C_1 is generated by the elements H, E_{ii}, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that satisfy the defining relations:

$$HE_{ii} = (i+1)E_{ii}, \ \ E_{ii}^2 = E_{ii}, \ \ E_{ii}E_{jj} = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ i \neq j.$$

For each natural number i, the element $H + i$ is a unit of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ but the element $H - i$, $i \geq 1$, is not since $\ker_{P_1}(H - i) = Kx^{i-1}$. For each scalar $\lambda \in K^*$ and for each integer $i \geq 1$, the element

$$(H-i)_\lambda := H - i + \lambda E_{i-1,i-1} \in \mathbb{A}_1 \tag{19}$$

is a unit of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ since $(H-i)_\lambda * x^{i-1} = \lambda x^{i-1} \neq 0$. Let S_1' be the multiplicative submonoid of C_1 generated by the elements $\{(H-i)_1, H+j \mid i \geq 1, j \geq 0\}$. The localization $\mathbb{D}_1 := S_1'^{-1}C_1$ of the algebra C_1 at S_1' contains the algebra C_1. In [6], it is shown that the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is generated by $\mathbb{D}_1$, x, and y; $F_{1,0}$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{D}_1$ such that $\mathbb{D}_1/F_{1,0} \simeq S_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1$; and F is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ such that $\mathbb{A}_1/F \simeq \mathcal{A}_1$. There is the commutative diagram of natural algebra homomorphisms with exact rows (of algebras not necessarily with 1):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F_{1,0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & C_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C_1/F_{1,0} \simeq \mathcal{P}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_{1,0} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{D}_1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{D}_1/F_{1,0} \simeq S_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 \arrow[r] & 0\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_1 := S_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1 = K[H^{\pm 1}, (H \pm 1)^{-1}, (H \pm 2)^{-1}, \ldots]$ and $(H-i)_1 \equiv H - i \mod F_{1,0}$, it follows from the exact sequence at the bottom of the commutative diagram above that

$$\mathbb{D}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1^- \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_1^+ \bigoplus F_{1,0}, \ \ \mathcal{L}_1^- := \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 1} K \frac{1}{(H-i)_1^j}, \ \ \mathcal{L}_1^+ := K[H^{\pm 1}, (H+1)^{-1}, (H+2)^{-1}, \ldots]. \tag{20}$$

Consider the maps $\sigma(a) = xay$ and $\tau(a) = yax$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ as defined in (14). Then $\sigma(\mathbb{D}_1) = \mathbb{D}_1\sigma(1) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_1$ as follows from the equalities: for all natural numbers $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$\sigma(E_{ii}) = E_{i+1,i+1}, \ \ \sigma(H) = H - 1 = (H-1)\sigma(1) = (H-1)_1\sigma(1), \ \ \sigma((H-j)_1) = (H-j-1)_1\sigma(1).$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{D}_1 = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1) \bigoplus KE_{00}$ where KE_{00} is an ideal of the commutative algebra $\mathbb{D}_1$ such that $\tau(KE_{00}) = 0$. Then $\tau(\mathbb{D}_1) = \mathbb{D}_1$. Moreover, the map $\tau : \mathbb{D}_1 \to \mathbb{D}_1$, $\sigma(d) + \lambda E_{00} \mapsto d$, is an *algebra epimorphism* ($\tau(1) = \tau(1 - E_{00} + E_{00}) = \tau(\sigma(1) + E_{00}) = 1$) with kernel KE_{00}. In particular, $\tau(H) = H + 1$ and $\tau(E_{ii}) = E_{i-1,i-1}$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{D}_1$, $dx^i = x^i\tau^i(d)$ and $y^id = \tau^i(d)y^i$. The kernel of the linear map $\tau : \mathbb{A}_1 \to \mathbb{A}_1$ is equal to

$$\ker(\tau) = KE_{00} + \sum_{i \geq 1}(KE_{0i} + KE_{i0}). \tag{21}$$

In more detail, since $\tau(F) \subseteq F$ and the induced map $\overline{\tau} : \mathbb{A}_1/F \to \mathbb{A}_1/F$ is the inner automorphism $a \mapsto x^{-1}ax$, the kernel of the map τ coincides with the kernel of its restriction to F, and the equality (21) follows from (17). The kernel of τ is not an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$, and so the map τ is not an algebra homomorphism, but τ *is* an algebra homomorphism modulo F.

For all integers $i \geq 1$,

$$\tau((H-i)_1) = \begin{cases} (H-(i-1))_1 & \text{if } i \geq 2, \\ H & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(H-i)_1}E_{jj} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j-i+1}E_{jj} & \text{if } j \neq i-1, \\ E_{i-1,i-1} & \text{if } j = i-1. \end{cases}$$

The $K[\tau]$-module $\mathbb{D}_1$ is the direct sum of the following *indecomposable*, infinite dimensional submodules: $K[H]$; $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} K \frac{1}{(H-i)_1^j} \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} K \frac{1}{(H+i)^j}$ for each integer $j \geq 1$; and $F_{1,0} := \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} KE_{ss}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map $\tau : \mathcal{L}_1^- \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_1^+ \to \mathcal{L}_1^- \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_1^+$ is a bijection, the map $\tau : \mathbb{F}_{1,0} \to \mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ is a surjection with $\ker(\tau) = KE_{00}$, and the map $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{1,0} \to \mathbb{F}_{1,0}$ is an injection with $\mathbb{F}_{1,0} = \mathrm{im}(\sigma) \oplus KE_{00}$.

The $K[\sigma]$-module structure of the algebra $\mathbb{D}_1$ is complicated as it follows from the equalities: for all $\lambda \in K$ and $i \geq 1$,

$$\sigma^i(\lambda) = \lambda(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} E_{jj}) \text{ and } \sigma^i(H) = H - i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(i-1-j)E_{jj}.$$

Note that $\sigma(x) = xxy = x(1 - E_{00}) = x - E_{10}$ and $\sigma(y) = xyy = (1 - E_{00})y = y - E_{01}$. Then $\sigma(x^i) = x^i - E_{i0}$ and $\sigma(y^i) = y^i - E_{0i}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

The algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is generated by the elements x, $y := H^{-1}\partial$, H, and H^{-1}, or, equivalently, the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is obtained from the subalgebra $\mathbb{S}_1 = K\langle x, y\rangle$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ by adding the elements H and H^{-1} of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$. For each integer $m \geq 1$, $\partial^m = (Hy)^m = H(H+1)\cdots(H+m-1)y^m$, and so $\mathbb{D}_1\partial^m = \mathbb{D}_1 y^m$. In ([6], Theorem 2.3) it is proved that the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra where $\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha} := \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{A}_{1,\alpha_k}(k)$ and, for $n = 1$,

$$\mathbb{A}_{1,i} = \begin{cases} x^i\mathbb{D}_1 & \text{if } i \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{D}_1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathbb{D}_1\partial^{-i} = \mathbb{D}_1 y^{-i} & \text{if } i \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Since $yx = 1$, $xy = \sigma(1) = 1 - E_{00}$, $xd = \sigma(d)x$ and $dy = y\sigma(d)$ for all $d \in \mathbb{D}_1$, $\sigma(\mathbb{D}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_1$, $\tau(\mathbb{D}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_1$, and xy is a central element of $\mathbb{D}_1$, by Lemma 3.2, the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is the GWA $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{D}_1(\sigma, 1)$ such that $x^i\mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_1 x^i$ and $y^i\mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_1 y^i$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note that $\sigma(1)x = x$ and $y\sigma(1) = y$.

For an arbitrary n and for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathbb{A}_1(i) = \mathbb{D}_1(i)(\sigma_i, 1)$, and so the Jacobian algebra

$$\mathbb{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_1(i) = \mathbb{D}_n((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n), (1, \ldots, 1))$$

is the generalized Weyl algebra where $\mathbb{D}_n := \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{D}_1(i)$.

Lemma 3.4 1. *The Jacobian algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{D}_n((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n), (1, \ldots, 1))$ *is the generalized Weyl algebra. In particular, the algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha}$ *is* $\mathbb{Z}^n$*-graded where* $\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha} = \mathbb{D}_n v_\alpha = v_\alpha \mathbb{D}_n$ *for all* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

2. *The Jacobian algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *is obtained from the subalgebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *of* $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ *by adding* $2n$ *invertible elements* $H_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, H_n^{\pm 1}$ *of* $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$.

Lemma 3.4.(1) gives defining relations for the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. The algebras $\mathcal{L}_n^+ := \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_1(i)^+$ and $C_n := \bigotimes_{i=0}^n C_1(i)$ are subalgebras of $\mathbb{D}_n$ where $\mathcal{L}_1(i)^+ := K[H_i^{\pm 1}, (H_i + 1)^{-1}, (H_i + 2)^{-1}, \ldots]$ and $C_1(i) := K[H_i] + F_{1,0}(i) = K[H_i] \bigoplus \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} KE_{jj}(i)$.

For each algebra $\mathbb{A}_1(i)$, let τ_i be the corresponding map τ which is extended to the map $\tau_i : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n$, $a \mapsto y_i a x_i$. It is not an algebra endomorphism but its restriction to the subalgebra $\mathbb{D}_n$ of $\mathbb{A}_n$ *is* a K-algebra epimorphism, $\tau_i(\mathbb{D}_n) = \mathbb{D}_n$, with $\ker(\tau_i|_{\mathbb{D}_n}) = KE_{00}(i) \bigotimes \bigotimes_{j\neq i} \mathbb{D}_1(j)$. In more detail, for $a, b \in \mathbb{D}_1$,

$$\tau(a)\tau(b) = ya(1 - E_{00})bx = \tau(ab) - yaE_{00}bx = \tau(ab),$$

since $aE_{00}b \in KE_{00}$ and $yE_{00} = 0$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{D}_n$, $dx_i^j = x_i^j\tau_i^j(d)$ and $y_i^j d = \tau_i^j(d)y_i^j$. Indeed, when $n = 1$, $x\tau(d) = (1 - E_{00})dx = dx - E_{00}dx = dx$ since $E_{00}d \in KE_{00}$ and $E_{00}x = 0$.

Next, we consider two involutions of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Using them we construct the subgroup Ξ_n of $\mathbb{G}_n$ which is one of the building blocks of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. We use the fact that a product of two involutions is an *automorphism*.

The involution θ on $\mathbb{A}_n$. The *involution*

$$\theta : A_n \to A_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto \partial_i, \;\; \partial_i \mapsto x_i, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

of the Weyl algebra A_n can be uniquely extended to the involution (see [6])

$$\theta : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto \partial_i, \;\; \partial_i \mapsto x_i, \;\; H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{22}$$

of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Moreover,

$$\theta(E_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!} E_{\beta\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

where $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$ and $0! := 1$; and so

$$\theta(F_n) = F_n. \tag{24}$$

Since $\theta(x_i) = H_i y_i$ and $\theta(y_i) = x_i H_i^{-1} \not\in \mathbb{S}_n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we see that $\theta(\mathbb{S}_n) \not\subseteq \mathbb{S}_n$, i.e. the involution θ does not preserve the subalgebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ of $\mathbb{A}_n$.

The involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. The involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ can be uniquely extended to the involution

$$\eta : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto y_i, \;\; y_i \mapsto x_i, \;\; H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{25}$$

This can be easily verified using the defining relations of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ given by the presentation of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ as a GWA. In particular, $\eta(\partial_i) = x_i H_i$. Since $\eta(x_i) = y_i = H_i^{-1}\partial_i \not\in A_n$, wee see that $\eta(A_n) \not\subseteq A_n$, i.e. the involution η does not preserve the Weyl algebra A_n. The compositions of the involutions $\eta\theta$ and $\theta\eta$ are *automorphisms* of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Moreover, they belong to the stabilizer

$$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \ldots, H_n) := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n \,|\, \sigma(H_1) = H_1, \ldots, \sigma(H_n) = H_n\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta\theta : &\quad x_i \mapsto x_i H_i, \quad\; y_i \mapsto H_i^{-1} y_i, \;\; H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1}, \\ \theta\eta : &\quad x_i \mapsto x_i H_i^{-1}, \;\; y_i \mapsto H_i y_i, \quad H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\eta\theta)^{-1} = \theta\eta$ and $(\eta\theta)^m : x_i \mapsto x_i H_i^m$, $y_i \mapsto H_i^{-m} y_i$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so the automorphism $\eta\theta$ generates a free cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$. These automorphisms yield an idea of introducing the subgroup $\mathbb{U}_n$ of $\mathbb{G}_n$ (see Section 4).

Since $\mathbb{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_1(i)$, there is a natural inclusion of groups

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1(i)) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n, \;\; (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \mapsto (\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \sigma_i : \bigotimes_{i=1}^n a_i \mapsto \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(a_i)),$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{A}_1(i)$.

The group Ξ_n. For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let η_i and θ_i be the involutions η and θ for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1(i)$. Consider the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$,

$$\Xi_n := \prod_{i=1}^n \Xi_1(i) = \langle \eta_1\theta_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \eta_n\theta_n \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n, \;\; \text{where} \;\; \Xi_1(i) := \langle \eta_i\theta_i \rangle.$$

Note that, for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\eta_j\theta_j)^{\alpha_j} : x_i \mapsto x_i H_i^{\alpha_i}, \;\; y_i \mapsto H_i^{-\alpha_i} y_i, \;\; H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1},$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We will see that the group Ξ_n consists of outer automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Corollary 7.8).

4 Certain subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$

Recall that $\mathbb{G}_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ is the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. In this section, a useful description of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is given (Corollary 4.8.(1)), an important (rather peculiar) criterion of equality of two elements of $\mathbb{G}_n$ (Theorem 4.12) is found, and several subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_n$ are introduced that are building blocks of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. These results are important in finding the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. Our goal is to prove that $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$ (Theorem 7.2) and $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ (Theorem 7.7). In this section, the groups S_n, $\mathbb{T}^n$, $\mathbb{U}_n$, $\ker(\xi)$, and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ are introduced and it is proved that the inclusion $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$ holds (Lemma 4.10). For $n = 1$, the inclusion is the equality (Theorem 5.7).

Ideals and the prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Recall some facts about ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ that are proved in [6] and will be used later in the paper.

0 is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{A}_n$.

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 := F \otimes \mathbb{A}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_2 := \mathbb{A}_1 \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{A}_{n-2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n := \mathbb{A}_{n-1} \otimes F,$$

are precisely the prime ideals of height one of $\mathbb{A}_n$. Let Sub_n be the set of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

- (Corollary 3.5, [6]) *The map* $\mathrm{Sub}_n \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{A}_n)$, $I \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_I := \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$, $\emptyset \mapsto 0$, *is a bijection, i.e. any nonzero prime ideal of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *is a unique sum of primes of height 1;* $|\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{A}_n)| = 2^n$*; the height of* $\mathfrak{p}_I$ *is* $|I|$*; and*
- (Lemma 3.6, [6]) $\mathfrak{p}_I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_J$ *iff* $I \subseteq J$.
- (Corollary 3.15, [6]) $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$ *is the only prime ideal of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *which is completely prime;* $\mathfrak{a}_n$ *is the only ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *such that* $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{A}_n$ *and* $\mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}$ *is a Noetherian (resp. left Noetherian, resp. right Noetherian) ring.*
- (Theorem 3.1, [6]) *Each ideal* I *of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *is an idempotent ideal, i.e.* $I^2 = I$*; and ideals of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *commute* ($IJ = JI$).
- (Theorem 3.11, [6]) *The lattice of ideals of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *is distributive.*
- (Corollary 2.7.(4,7), [6]) *The ideal* $\mathfrak{a}_n$ *is the largest (hence, the only maximal) ideal of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *distinct from* $\mathbb{A}_n$*, and* F_n *is the smallest nonzero ideal of* $\mathbb{A}_n$.
- (Corollary 2.7.(11), [6]) $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}) = 3n$ *for all ideals* $\mathfrak{a}$ *of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *such that* $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{A}_n$.

The automorphism $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$. The involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ yields the automorphism $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$ of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$:

$$\widehat{\eta} : \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathbb{G}_n, \;\; \sigma \mapsto \eta\sigma\eta^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

Clearly, $\widehat{\eta}^2 = e$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\sigma) = \eta\sigma\eta$ since $\eta^2 = e$.

The group homomorphism ξ.

Lemma 4.1 $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_n) = \mathfrak{a}_n$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$.

Proof. The statement is obvious since the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is the only maximal ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. □

By Lemma 4.1, we have the group homomorphism (recall that $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$):

$$\xi : \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n), \;\; \sigma \mapsto (\overline{\sigma} : a + \mathfrak{a}_n \mapsto \sigma(a) + \mathfrak{a}_n). \tag{27}$$

The homomorphisms $\widehat{\eta}$ and ξ will be used often in the study of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. We will find the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ of algebra automorphisms of the skew Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$

(Theorem 6.1). We are interested in finding the image and the kernel of the homomorphism ξ (Theorem 7.2.(2) and Corollary 7.4). This will help us to find the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. We will see that the image of ξ is relatively small and the kernel of ξ is large.

The $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n. By the very definition of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ as a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$, the $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n is faithful.

Proposition 4.2 (Corollary 2.7, [6]) *The polynomial algebra P_n is the only (up to isomorphism) faithful, simple $\mathbb{A}_n$-module.*

The $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n is a very special module for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Its properties, especially the uniqueness, are used often in this paper. The polynomial algebra $P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha$ is a naturally $\mathbb{N}^n$-graded algebra. This grading is compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, i.e. the polynomial algebra P_n is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{A}_n$-module. Each element $y_i \in \mathbb{A}_n \subseteq \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is a *locally nilpotent* map, that is $P_n = \bigcup_{j\geq 1} \ker_{P_n}(y_i^j)$. Moreover,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(y_i) = K.$$

Each element $x_i \in \mathbb{A}_n \subseteq \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is an injective (but not a surjective) map. Each element $H_i \in \mathbb{A}_n \subseteq \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is a *semi-simple* map (that is $P_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in K} \ker_{P_n}(H_i - \lambda)$) with the set of eigenvalues $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ since $H_i * x^\alpha = (\alpha_i + 1)x^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Moreover,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(H_i - (\alpha_i + 1)) = Kx^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{28}$$

In particular, the $K[H_1, \dots, H_n]$-module $P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha$ is the sum of simple, non-isomorphic, one-dimensional submodules Kx^α, and so P_n is a semi-simple $K[H_1, \dots, H_n]$-module.

For the Weyl algebra A_n, the A_n-module $A_n/\sum_{i=1}^n A_n\partial_i$ is isomorphic to P_n via $1+\sum_{i=1}^n A_n\partial_i \mapsto 1$. The same statement is true for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Proposition 4.3 *The $\mathbb{A}_n$-module $\mathbb{A}_n/\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_n\partial_i = \mathbb{A}_n/\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_n y_i$ is isomorphic to P_n via $1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_n y_i \mapsto 1$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{A}_n/\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_n y_i \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_1(i)/\mathbb{A}_1(i)y_i$, it suffices to prove the statement for $n = 1$. In this case, there is the $\mathbb{A}_1$-module epimorphism $f : \mathbb{A}_1/\mathbb{A}_1 y \to P_1$, $1 + \mathbb{A}_1 y \mapsto 1$. Now, the statement follows from Lemma 4.4.(2) since $f(E_{i0}) = x^i$ for all $i \geq 0$, and so $\ker(f) = \mathbb{A}_1 y$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4 *1. $\mathbb{A}_1 = x\mathbb{A}_1 \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{0i}$.*

2. $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_1 y \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i0}$.

Proof. 1. Recall that $\mathbb{A}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\geq 1} \mathbb{D}_1 y^i \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} x^i\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D}_1 = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1) \bigoplus KE_{00}$. For each $i \geq 1$, $x\mathbb{D}_1 y^i = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1)xy^i = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1)xyy^{i-1} = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1)\sigma(1)y^{i-1} = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1 1)y^{i-1} = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1)y^{i-1}$, and so $\mathbb{D}_1 y^{i-1} = \sigma(\mathbb{D}_1)y^{i-1} \bigoplus KE_{00}y^{i-1} = x\mathbb{D}_1 y^i \bigoplus KE_{0,i-1}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{A}_1 = x\mathbb{A}_1 \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{0i}$.

2. Statement 2 is obtained from statement 1 by applying the involution η. $\square$

Let A be an algebra and σ be its automorphism. For an A-module M, the *twisted* A-module ${}^\sigma M$, as a vector space, coincides with the module M but the action of the algebra A is given by the rule: $a \cdot m := \sigma(a)m$ where $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Corollary 4.5 *1. Let M be an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(P_n, M) \simeq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(y_{i,M})$, $f \mapsto f(1)$, where $y_{i,M} : M \to M$, $m \mapsto y_i m$. In particular, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(P_n) \simeq K$.*

2. By Proposition 4.2, for each automorphism $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$, the $\mathbb{A}_n$-modules P_n and ${}^\sigma P_n$ are isomorphic, and each isomorphism $f : P_n \to {}^\sigma P_n$ is given by the rule: $f(p) = \sigma(p) * v$, where $v = f(1)$ is any nonzero element of the 1-dimensional vector space $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\sigma(y_i)_{P_n})$.

As an application of these results to the $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n, we have a useful criterion of equality of two elements in the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. This criterion is used in the proof of the fact that the kernel $\ker(\xi)$ has trivial centre (Theorem 7.17). Another (even more unexpected) criterion is given in Theorem 4.12 which is used in many proofs in this paper.

Corollary 4.6 *Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ iff $\sigma(E_{\alpha 0}) = \tau(E_{\alpha 0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ iff $\sigma(E_{0\alpha}) = \tau(E_{0\alpha})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ iff $\sigma(E_{\alpha\beta}) = \tau(E_{\alpha\beta})$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$.*

Proof. The last 'iff' follows from the previous two. The second 'iff' follows from the first one by using the automorphism $\widehat{\eta}$ of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$: $\sigma = \tau$ iff $\widehat{\eta}(\sigma) = \widehat{\eta}(\tau)$ iff $\widehat{\eta}(\sigma)(E_{\alpha 0}) = \widehat{\eta}(\tau)(E_{\alpha 0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (by the first 'iff') iff $\eta\sigma(E_{0\alpha}) = \eta\tau(E_{0\alpha})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (since $\eta(E_{\alpha 0}) = E_{0\alpha}$) iff $\sigma(E_{0\alpha}) = \eta(E_{0\alpha})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (by applying η^{-1} to the previous equality).

So, it remains to prove that if $\sigma(E_{\alpha 0}) = \tau(E_{\alpha 0})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ then $\sigma = \tau$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tau = e$, the identity of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. So, we have to prove that if $\sigma(E_{\alpha 0}) = E_{\alpha 0}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ then $\sigma = e$. For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$0 = (1 - E_{00}(i)) * 1 = \sigma(1 - E_{00}(i)) * 1 = \sigma(x_i y_i) * 1 = \sigma(x_i)\sigma(y_i) * 1,$$

and so $0 = \sigma(y_i)\sigma(x_i)\sigma(y_i) * 1 = \sigma(y_i x_i)\sigma(y_i) * 1 = \sigma(y_i) * 1$, i.e. $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\sigma(y_i)_{P_n}) = K$. By Corollary 4.5.(2), the map $f : P_n \to {}^{\sigma}P_n$, $p \mapsto \sigma(p) * 1$, is an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module isomorphism. Now, $f(x^\alpha) = f(E_{\alpha 0} * 1) = \sigma(E_{\alpha 0}) * 1 = E_{\alpha 0} * 1 = x^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. This means that f is the identity map. For all $a \in \mathbb{A}_n$ and $p \in P_n$, $a * p = f(a * p) = \sigma(a) * f(p) = \sigma(a) * p$, and so $\sigma(a) = a$ since the $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n is faithful. That is $\sigma = e$, as required. $\square$

A description of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. The next lemma is extremely useful in finding the group of automorphisms of algebras that have a *unique faithful* module that satisfies an isomorphism-invariant property.

Lemma 4.7 *Suppose that an algebra A has a unique (up to isomorphism) faithful A-module M that satisfies an isomorphism-invariant property, say $\mathcal{P}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(A) = \{\sigma_\varphi \,|\, \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(M),\ \varphi A \varphi^{-1} = A\}$$

where $\sigma_\varphi(a) := \varphi a \varphi^{-1}$ for $a \in A$, and the algebra A is identified with its isomorphic copy in $\mathrm{End}_K(M)$ via the algebra monomorphism $a \mapsto (m \mapsto am)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(A)$. The twisted $\mathbb{A}_n$-module ${}^{\sigma}M$ is faithful and satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}$. By the uniqueness of M, the A-modules M and ${}^{\sigma}M$ are isomorphic. So, there exists an element $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(M)$ such that $\varphi a = \sigma(a)\varphi$ for all $a \in A$, and so $\sigma(a) = \varphi a \varphi^{-1}$, as required. $\square$

Example. The matrix algebra $M_d(K)$ has a unique (up to isomorphism) simple module which is K^n. Then, by Lemma 4.7, every automorphism of $M_d(K)$ is inner.

Recall that the polynomial algebra P_n is a unique (up to isomorphism) faithful, simple module for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ and the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (see Corollary 2.7, [6]; and Corollary 3.3, [10], respectively).

Corollary 4.8 *1. $\mathbb{G}_n = \{\sigma_\varphi \,|\, \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n),\ \varphi \mathbb{A}_n \varphi^{-1} = \mathbb{A}_n\}$ where $\sigma_\varphi(a) := \varphi a \varphi^{-1}$, $a \in \mathbb{A}_n$.*

2. (Theorem 3.2, [11]) $\mathbb{S}_n = \{\sigma_\varphi \,|\, \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n),\ \varphi \mathbb{S}_n \varphi^{-1} = \mathbb{S}_n\}$ where $\sigma_\varphi(a) := \varphi a \varphi^{-1}$, $a \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

In [11], Corollary 4.8.(2) was used in finding the group G_n. Next, several important subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_n$ are introduced, they are building blocks of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ (Theorem 7.2, Theorem 7.7).

The group $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of inner automorphism of $\mathbb{A}_n$. Let $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ be the group of units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. The centre $Z(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is K, [6]. For each element $u \in \mathbb{A}_n^*$, let

$\omega_u : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n$, $a \mapsto uau^{-1}$, be the inner automorphism associated with the element u. Then the group of inner automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n) = \{\omega_u \,|\, u \in \mathbb{A}_n^*\} \simeq \mathbb{A}_n^*/K^*$, is a normal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$. It follows from the equality

$$\widehat{\eta}(\omega_u) = \omega_{\eta(u)^{-1}}, \;\; u \in \mathbb{A}_n^*, \tag{29}$$

that $\widehat{\eta}(\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)) = \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$. Clearly, $\xi(\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)) \subseteq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)$. The group $\mathbb{A}_1^*$ was found in [6], Theorem 4.2.

The algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. The n-dimensional algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}^n := \{t_\lambda \,|\, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in K^{*n}\}$ is a subgroup of the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and G_n where

$$t_\lambda(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i, \;\; t_\lambda(y_i) = \lambda_i^{-1} y_i, \;\; t_\lambda(H_i^{\pm 1}) = H_i^{\pm 1}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(A_n)$ since $t_\lambda(\partial_i) = t_\lambda(H_i y_i) = \lambda_i^{-1} H_i y_i = \lambda_i^{-1}\partial_i$. The algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ is also a subgroup of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(L_n)$ where

$$t_\lambda(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i, \;\; t_\lambda(H_i) = H_i, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then $\widehat{\eta}(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\widehat{\eta}(t_\lambda) = t_\lambda^{-1} = t_{\lambda^{-1}}$ where $\lambda^{-1} := (\lambda_1^{-1}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{-1})$; $\xi(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\xi(t_\lambda) = t_\lambda$. So, the maps $\widehat{\eta} : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\xi : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ are group isomorphisms. Note that

$$t_\lambda(E_{\alpha\beta}) = \lambda^{\alpha-\beta} E_{\alpha,\beta} \tag{30}$$

where $\lambda^{\alpha-\beta} := \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{\alpha_i - \beta_i}$.

The symmetric group S_n. The groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and G_n contain the symmetric group S_n where each elements τ of S_n is identified with the automorphism of the algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$ given by the rule:

$$\tau(x_i) = x_{\tau(i)}, \;\; \tau(y_i) = y_{\tau(i)}, \;\; \tau(H_i^{\pm 1}) = H_{\tau(i)}^{\pm 1}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The group S_n is also a subgroup of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(L_n)$ where

$$\tau(x_i) = x_{\tau(i)}, \;\; \tau(H_i) = H_{\tau(i)}, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Clearly, $\widehat{\eta}(S_n) = S_n$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\tau) = \tau$ for all $\tau \in S_n$; $\xi(S_n) = S_n$ and $\xi(\tau) = \tau$ for all $\tau \in S_n$. Note that

$$\tau(E_{\alpha\beta}) = E_{\tau(\alpha)\tau(\beta)} \tag{31}$$

where $\tau(\alpha) := (\alpha_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\tau^{-1}(n)})$.

The groups $\mathbb{G}_n$, G_n, $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$, and $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(L_n)$ contain the semi-direct product $S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n$ since $\mathbb{T}^n \cap S_n = \{e\}$ and

$$\tau t_\lambda \tau^{-1} = t_{\tau(\lambda)} \;\text{ where }\; \tau(\lambda) := (\lambda_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\tau^{-1}(n)}), \tag{32}$$

for all $\tau \in S_n$ and $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Clearly, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\eta} &: S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \to S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n, \quad \tau t_\lambda \mapsto \tau t_\lambda^{-1}, \\ \xi &: S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \to S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n, \quad \tau t_\lambda \mapsto \tau t_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

are group isomorphisms.

By (Theorem 5.1, [11]), $G_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$. The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ are central, and so $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \simeq \mathbb{S}_n^*/K^* \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n^*/K^* \simeq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$. Now, statement 1 of the next proposition follows.

Proposition 4.9 *1.* $G_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$.

2. $G_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n \,|\, \sigma(\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathbb{S}_n\}$.

Proof. 2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1 and Corollary 4.8. □.

Proposition 4.9 means that every automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ can be extended to an automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Moreover, it can be extended uniquely (Corollary 4.13).

The subgroup $\mathbb{U}_n$ of $\mathbb{G}_n$. The group $\mathbb{A}_1^*$ of units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ contains the following infinite discrete subgroup Theorem 4.2, [6]:

$$\mathcal{H} := \{\prod_{i\geq 0}(H+i)^{n_i} \cdot \prod_{i\geq 1}(H-i)_1^{n_{-i}} \,|\, (n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}. \tag{33}$$

For each tensor multiple $\mathbb{A}_1(i)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A}_1(i)$, let $\mathcal{H}_1(i)$ be the corresponding group $\mathcal{H}$. Their (direct) product

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \mathcal{H}_1(1)\cdots\mathcal{H}_1(n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1(i) \tag{34}$$

is a (discrete) subgroup of the group $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ of units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, and $\mathcal{H}_n \simeq \mathcal{H}^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}$.

For each element $u = u_1 \dots u_n = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{H}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1(i)$, using the presentation of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ as a GWA and the fact that $\mathbb{D}_n$ is a *commutative* algebra we can easily check that the map $\mu_u : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n$ is an algebra automorphism where

$$\mu_u : x_i \mapsto x_i u_i, \;\; y_i \mapsto u_i^{-1} y_i, \;\; H_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto H_i^{\pm 1}, \;\; i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{35}$$

Let us give two typical verifications that the map μ_u is an automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ which use the fact that the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a GWA:

$$\mu_u(x_k)\mu_u(y_k) = x_k u_k u_k^{-1} y_k = x_k y_k = 1 - E_{00}(k) = \mu_u(1 - E_{00}(k)),$$

and, for each element $d \in \mathbb{D}_n$, $\mu_u(d)\mu_u(x_k) = d x_k u_k = x_k \tau_k(d) u_k = x_k u_k \tau_k(d) = \mu_u(x_k)\mu_u(\tau_k(d))$, by (38). Note that $\mu_u \mu_v = \mu_{uv}$ and $\mu_u^{-1} = \mu_{u^{-1}}$, and so we have the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$,

$$\mathbb{U}_n := \{\mu_u \,|\, u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{H}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1(i)\} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}, \;\; \mu_u \leftrightarrow (n_i(k)), \tag{36}$$

where $u_k = \prod_{i\geq 0}(H_k+i)^{n_i(k)} \cdot \prod_{i\geq 1}(H_k - i)_1^{n_{-i}(k)}$. A direct calculation shows that

$$\mu_u(E_{ij}(k)) = \begin{cases} E_{ij}(k) u_k \tau_k(u_k) \cdots \tau_k^{i-j-1}(u_k) & \text{if } i > j, \\ E_{ii}(k) & \text{if } i = j, \\ u_k^{-1}\tau_k(u_k^{-1}) \cdots \tau_k^{j-i-1}(u_k^{-1}) E_{ij}(k) & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

It follows that

$$\mu_u(\mathbb{D}_n) = \mathbb{D}_n, \;\; \mu_u|_{\mathbb{D}_n} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{D}_n}. \tag{38}$$

By the very definition of the group $\mathbb{U}_n$, it is the direct product of its subgroups $\mathbb{U}_1(k)$:

$$\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{U}_1(1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{U}_1(n)$$

where $\mathbb{U}_1(k) := \{\mu_{u_k} \,|\, u_k \in \mathcal{H}_1(k)\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$.

Note that $\mathbb{T}^n \cap \mathbb{U}_n = \{e\}$ and $t_\lambda \mu_u = \mu_u t_\lambda$ for all $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\mu_u \in \mathbb{U}_n$. Therefore, $\mathbb{G}_n \supset \mathbb{T}^n\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$. Note that $S_n \cap \mathbb{T}^n\mathbb{U}_n = \{e\}$ and,

$$s\mu_{(u_1,\dots,u_n)}s^{-1} = \mu_{(s(u_{s^{-1}(1)}),\dots,s(u_{s^{-1}(n)}))}, \tag{39}$$

for all $s \in S_n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{U}_n$. Therefore, $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$. The restriction of the homomorphism ξ (see (27)) to the subgroup $\mathbb{U}_n$ of $\mathbb{G}_n$ yields the group isomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{U}_n \simeq \xi(\mathbb{U}_n) = \{\mu_{\xi(u)} : x_k \mapsto x_k\xi(u_k), H_k \mapsto H_k \,|\, u \in \mathcal{H}_n\}$. Moreover, $\xi : S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \simeq S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \xi(\mathbb{U}_n))$.

Lemma 4.10 *$S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$.*

Remark. In fact, the equality holds for $n = 1$ (Theorem 5.7.(1)), and the strict inclusion holds when $n > 1$. The last statement can be easily deduced from Theorem 7.7 and the fact that the set $\mathbb{A}_n^*/K^*$ is much more massive than $\mathbb{S}_n^*/K^*$. Note that $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n) \simeq \mathbb{A}_n/K^* \supset \mathbb{S}_n^*/K^* \simeq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$.

Proof. The groups $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n)$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ are subgroups of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ (Proposition 4.9). Since $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \subseteq \ker(\xi)$ and $\xi : S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \simeq S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \xi(\mathbb{U}_n))$, we see that $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \cap \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) = \{e\}$, and the statement follows. $\square$

The subgroup $\mathbb{U}_n^0$ of $\mathbb{G}_n$. For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let $\mathcal{H}_1^0(i)$ be the kernel of the group epimorphism

$$\deg_{H_i} : \mathcal{H}_1(i) \to \mathbb{Z}, \;\; \prod_{j\geq 0}(H_i + j)^{n_j} \cdot \prod_{j\geq 1}(H_i - j)_1^{n_{-j}} \mapsto \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} n_k. \tag{40}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_1(i) = \{H_i^j \,|\, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \times \mathcal{H}_1^0(i)$, and so $\mathcal{H}_n = \{H^\alpha \,|\, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \times \mathcal{H}_n^0$ where $\mathcal{H}_n^0 := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1^0(i)$. Correspondingly,

$$\mathbb{U}_n = \{\mu_{H^\alpha} \,|\, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \times \mathbb{U}_n^0 = \Xi_n \times \mathbb{U}_n^0 \;\text{ where }\; \mathbb{U}_n^0 := \{\mu_u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{H}_n^0\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_n^0, \;\; \mu_u \leftrightarrow u. \tag{41}$$

Let r be an element of a ring R. The element r is called *regular* if $\mathrm{l.ann}_R(r) = 0$ and $\mathrm{r.ann}_r(r) = 0$ where $\mathrm{l.ann}_R(r) := \{s \in R \,|\, sr = 0\}$ is the *left annihilator* of r and $\mathrm{r.ann}_R(r) := \{s \in R \,|\, rs = 0\}$ is the *right annihilator* of r in R.

The next lemma shows that the elements x and y of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ are not regular. Note that the element $x \in A_1$ is a regular element of the Weyl algebra A_1 which is not regular as an element of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$.

Lemma 4.11 *[10]*

1. $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = \mathbb{S}_1 E_{00} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i,0} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i(1-xy)$ *and* $\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = 0$.
2. $\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y) = E_{00}\mathbb{S}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{0,i} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} K(1-xy)y^i$ *and* $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y) = 0$.

It follows from Lemma 4.11 and the presentation of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ as a GWA (see also (20)) that, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(x_i) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \mathbb{A}_{n-1,i} E_{j,0}(i) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \mathbb{A}_{n-1,i} x_i^j E_{00}(i), \tag{42}$$

$$\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(y_i) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} E_{0,j}(i)\mathbb{A}_{n-1,i} = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} E_{00}(i) y_i^j \mathbb{A}_{n-1,i}, \tag{43}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{n-1,i}$ stands for $\bigotimes_{k\neq i} \mathbb{A}_1(k)$.

For an algebra A and a subset $S \subseteq A$, $\mathrm{Cen}_A(S) := \{a \in A \,|\, as = sa \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ is the *centralizer* of the set S in A. It is a subalgebra of A. It follows from the presentation of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ as a GWA that

$$\mathrm{Cen}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \;\; \mathrm{Cen}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]. \tag{44}$$

Let $\mathbb{E}_n := \mathrm{End}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ be the monoid of all the K-algebra endomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_n$. The group of units of this monoid is $\mathbb{G}_n$. The automorphism $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_n)$ can be extended to an automorphism $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_n)$ of the monoid $\mathbb{E}_n$:

$$\widehat{\eta} : \mathbb{E}_n \to \mathbb{E}_n, \;\; \sigma \mapsto \eta\sigma\eta^{-1}. \tag{45}$$

The next result is instrumental in finding the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Theorem 4.12 *Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $\sigma = \tau$.

2. $\sigma(x_1)=\tau(x_1),\ldots,\sigma(x_n)=\tau(x_n)$.

3. $\sigma(y_1)=\tau(y_1),\ldots,\sigma(y_n)=\tau(y_n)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tau=e$, the identity automorphism. Consider the following two subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_n$, the stabilizers of the sets $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ and $\{y_1,\ldots,y_n\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) &:= \{g\in\mathbb{G}_n \mid g(x_1)=x_1,\ldots,g(x_n)=x_n\},\\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(y_1,\ldots,y_n) &:= \{g\in\mathbb{G}_n \mid g(y_1)=y_1,\ldots,g(y_n)=y_n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\widehat{\eta}(\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n))=\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(y_1,\ldots,y_n),\ \ \widehat{\eta}(\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(y_1,\ldots,y_n))=\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Therefore, the theorem (where $\tau=e$) is equivalent to the single statement that $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\{e\}$. So, let $\sigma\in\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. We have to show that $\sigma=e$, i.e. $\sigma(y_i)=y_i$ and $\sigma(H_i)=H_i$ for all i. For each $i=1,\ldots,n$, $1=\sigma(y_ix_i)=\sigma(y_i)x_i$ and $1=y_ix_i$. By taking the difference of these equalities we see that $a_i:=\sigma(y_i)-y_i\in \mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(x_i)$. By (42), $a_i=\sum_{j\geq 0}\lambda_{ij}E_{j0}(i)$ for some elements $\lambda_{ij}\in\bigotimes_{k\neq i}\mathbb{A}_1(k)$, and so

$$\sigma(y_i)=y_i+\sum_{j\geq 0}\lambda_{ij}E_{j0}(i).$$

The element $\sigma(y_i)$ commutes with the elements $\sigma(x_k)=x_k$, $k\neq i$, hence all $\lambda_{ij}\in K[x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_n]$, by (44). Since $E_{j0}(i)=x_i^jE_{00}(i)$, we can write

$$\sigma(y_i)=y_i+p_iE_{00}(i)\ \text{ for some }\ p_i\in P_n.$$

We have to show that all $p_i=0$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then $p_i\neq 0$ for some i. We seek a contradiction. Note that $\sigma^{-1}\in\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$, and so $\sigma^{-1}(y_i)=y_i+q_iE_{00}(i)$ for some $q_i\in P_n$. Recall that $E_{00}(i)=1-x_iy_i$. Then $\sigma^{-1}(E_{00}(i))=1-x_i(y_i+q_iE_{00}(i))=(1-x_iq_i)E_{00}(i)$, and

$$y_i=\sigma^{-1}\sigma(y_i)=\sigma^{-1}(y_i+p_iE_{00}(i))=y_i+(q_i+p_i(1-x_iq_i))E_{00}(i),$$

and so $q_i+p_i=x_ip_iq_i$ since the map $P_n\to P_nE_{00}(i)$, $p\mapsto pE_{00}(i)$, is an isomorphism of P_n-modules as it follows from (2). This is impossible by comparing the degrees of the polynomials on both sides of the equality. Therefore, $\sigma(y_i)=y_i$ for all i.

By Proposition 4.2, there is an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module isomorphism $\varphi: P_n\to {}^{\sigma}P_n$, $p\mapsto\sigma(p)*v$, where $v:=\varphi(1)\in\bigcap_{i=1}^n\ker_{{}^{\sigma}P_n}(\sigma(y_i))=\bigcap_{i=1}^n\ker_{P_n}(y_i)=K1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v=1$. Then $1=\varphi(1)=\varphi(H_i*1)=\sigma(H_i)*1$ for all i. For each $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(H_i)*x^\alpha &= \sigma(H_i)x^\alpha*1=\sigma(H_i)\sigma(x^\alpha)*1=\sigma(H_ix^\alpha)*1=\sigma(x^\alpha(H_i+\alpha_i))*1\\ &= \sigma(x^\alpha)(\sigma(H_i)+\alpha_i)*1=x^\alpha(\alpha_i+1)*1=(\alpha_i+1)x^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

This means that the linear maps $\sigma(H_i), H_i\in\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ coincide. Therefore, $\sigma(H_i)=H_i$ for all i since the $\mathbb{A}_n$-module P_n is faithful. This proves that $\sigma=e$. $\square$

Corollary 4.13 $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathbb{S}_n):=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{G}_n\mid\sigma(\mathbb{S}_n)=\mathbb{S}_n,\sigma|_{\mathbb{S}_n}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_n}\}=\{e\}$, *and so each automorphism of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *(see Proposition 4.9.(1)).*

Proof. Exactly the same result as Theorem 4.12 is true for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e. when we replace the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ by the group G_n (Theorem 3.7, [11]). Now, the statement is obvious. $\square$

Theorem 4.12 states that each automorphism of the non-commutative, finitely generated, non-Noetherian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is uniquely determined by its action on its commutative, finitely generated subalgebra P_n. A similar result is true for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (Theorem 3.7, [11]) and for the ring $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ of differential operators on the polynomial algebra P_n over a field of *prime* characteristic. The algebra $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ is a non-commutative, *not finitely generated*, non-Noetherian algebra.

Theorem 4.14 [8] (Rigidity of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$) *Let K be a field of prime characteristic, and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n)$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ iff $\sigma(x_1) = \tau(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_n) = \tau(x_n)$.*

The above theorem doest not hold in characteristic zero and does not hold in prime characteristic for the ring of differential operators on a Laurent polynomial algebra [8].

5 The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1)$

In this section, the group $\mathbb{G}_1$ and its explicit generators are found (Theorem 5.7) and it is proved that any algebra endomorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is a *monomorphism* (Theorem 5.10) (note that the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is not a simple algebra). The case $n = 1$ is rather special and much more simpler than the general case. It is a sort of degeneration of the general case. The key idea in finding the group $\mathbb{G}_1$ of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is to use Theorem 4.12, some of the properties of the index of linear maps in the vector space $P_1 = K[x]$, and the explicit structure of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1/F)$ (Theorem 5.5). We start this section with recalling some of the results on the index from [11] and on the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ from [6].

The index ind **of linear maps and its properties**. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(K)$ be the family of all K-linear maps with finite dimensional kernel and cokernel (such maps are called the *Fredholm linear maps/operatorts*). So, $\mathcal{C}$ is the family of *Fredholm* linear maps/operators. For vector spaces V and U, let $\mathcal{C}(V, U)$ be the set of all the linear maps from V to U with finite dimensional kernel and cokernel. So, $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{V,U} \mathcal{C}(V, U)$ is the disjoint union.

Definition. For a linear map $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, the integer

$$\mathrm{ind}(\varphi) := \dim\ker(\varphi) - \dim\mathrm{coker}(\varphi)$$

is called the *index* of the map φ.

Example. Note that $\mathbb{A}_1 \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$, and, in particular, $x^i, y^i \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$. One can easily prove that

$$\mathrm{ind}(x^i) = -i \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{ind}(y^i) = i, \ \ i \geq 1. \tag{46}$$

Lemma 5.1 shows that $\mathcal{C}$ is a multiplicative semigroup with zero element (if the composition of two elements of C is undefined we set their product to be zero). The next two lemmas are well known.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\psi : M \to N$ and $\varphi : N \to L$ be K-linear maps. If two of the following three maps: ψ, φ, and $\varphi\psi$, belong to the set $\mathcal{C}$ then so does the third; and in this case,*

$$\mathrm{ind}(\varphi\psi) = \mathrm{ind}(\varphi) + \mathrm{ind}(\psi).$$

Lemma 5.2 *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & V_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"] & V_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"] & V_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_3"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U_1 \arrow[r] & U_2 \arrow[r] & U_3 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a commutative diagram of K-linear maps with exact rows. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\mathrm{ind}(\varphi_2) = \mathrm{ind}(\varphi_1) + \mathrm{ind}(\varphi_3).$$

Each nonzero element u of the skew Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1[x, x^{-1}; \sigma_1]$ (where $\sigma_1(H) = H - 1$) is a unique sum $u = \lambda_s x^s + \lambda_{s+1}x^{s+1} + \cdots + \lambda_d x^d$ where all $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $\lambda_d \neq 0$, and $\lambda_d x^d$ is the *leading term* of the element u. The integer $\deg_x(u) = d$ is called the *degree* of the element u, $\deg_x(0) := -\infty$. For all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $\deg_x(uv) = \deg_x(u) + \deg_x(v)$ and $\deg_x(u + v) \leq$

$\max\{\deg_x(u), \deg_x(v)\}$. The next lemma explains how to compute the index of the elements $\mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$ via the degree function $\deg_x$ and proves that the index is a $\mathbb{G}_1$-invariant concept. Note that $F \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.3 *1. $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$ (recall that $\mathbb{A}_1 \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$) and, for each element $a \in \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$,*

$$\mathrm{ind}(a) = -\deg_x(\overline{a})$$

where $\overline{a} = a + F \in \mathbb{A}_1/F = \mathcal{A}_1$.

2. $\mathrm{ind}(\sigma(a)) = \mathrm{ind}(a)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_1$ and $a \in \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$.

Proof. 1. Since $\mathcal{C} \cap F = \emptyset$, to prove that the equality $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$ holds it suffices to show that $\mathrm{ind}(a) = -\deg_x(\overline{a})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$. Let $a \in \mathbb{A}_1\backslash F$ and $d := \deg_x(\overline{a})$. The element of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$,

$$b := \begin{cases} y^d a & \text{if } d \geq 0, \\ ax^{-d} & \text{if } d < 0, \end{cases}$$

does not belong to the ideal F (since $\overline{b} = x^{-d}\overline{a} \neq 0$), and $\deg_x(\overline{b}) = 0$. By Lemma 5.1 and (46), it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{ind}(b) = 0$ since then

$$0 = \mathrm{ind}(b) = d + \mathrm{ind}(a),$$

that is $\mathrm{ind}(a) = -\deg_x(\overline{a})$. The element b can be written as a sum $b = \lambda + \sum_{i\geq 1} \lambda_i y^i + f$ for some elements $\lambda, \lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, and $f \in F$. Fix a natural number m such that the vector space $V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^m Kx^i$ is b-invariant ($bV \subseteq V$), the element λ acts as an isomorphism on the vector space $U := P_1/V \simeq \bigoplus_{i>d} Kx^i$, and $fP_1 \subseteq V$. Let b_1 and b_2 be the restrictions of the linear map b to the vector spaces V and U respectively. Then $\mathrm{ind}(b_1) = 0$ since $\dim(V) < \infty$; and $\mathrm{ind}(b_2) = 0$ since $b_2 = \lambda + \sum_{i\geq 1} \lambda_i y^i$ is a bijection. Applying Lemma 5.2 to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & V \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b_1"] & P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b"] & U \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b_2"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & V \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have the result: $\mathrm{ind}(b) = \mathrm{ind}(b_1) + \mathrm{ind}(b_2) = 0$.

2. By Corollary 4.8.(1), $\mathrm{ind}(\sigma(a)) = \mathrm{ind}(\varphi a \varphi^{-1}) = \mathrm{ind}(a)$ where $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$. $\square$

The group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$. Let $\mathcal{G}_1 := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. We are going to find the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{L}_1[x^{\pm 1}; \sigma_1]$ (Theorem 5.5) where $\mathcal{L}_1 := K[H, (H+i)^{-1}]_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\sigma_1(H) = H - 1$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ be the direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}$ copies of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}$. The group of units of the algebra $\mathcal{L}_1$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_1^* = \{\lambda \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (H+i)^{n_i} \,|\, \lambda \in K^*, (n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq K^* \times \mathcal{L}_1' \simeq K^* \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1' := \{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(H+i)^{n_i} \,|\, (n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$, $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(H+i)^{n_i} \leftrightarrow (n_i)$. The kernel $\mathcal{L}_1^0$ of the group epimorphism $\mathcal{L}_1' \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(H+i)^{n_i} \mapsto \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} n_i$, is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_1^0 = \{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (\frac{H+i}{H+i+1})^{n_i} \,|\, (n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}, \;\; \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (\frac{H+i}{H+i+1})^{n_i} \leftrightarrow (n_i).$$

Similarly, since $(\frac{H+i}{H+i+1})/(\frac{H+i+1}{H+i+2}) = \frac{(H+i)(H+i+2)}{(H+i+1)^2}$, the kernel $\mathcal{L}_1^{00}$ of the group epimorphism $\mathcal{L}_1^0 \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{H+i}{H+i+1})^{n_i} \mapsto \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} n_i$, is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{00} = \{\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (\frac{(H+i)(H+i+2)}{(H+i+1)^2})^{n_i} \,|\, (n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Consider the following automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$:

$$\begin{array}{rlll} \sigma_u: & x\mapsto ux, & H\mapsto H, & (u\in\mathcal{L}_1^*) \\ s_i:=\omega_{x^i}: & x\mapsto x, & H\mapsto H-i, & (i\in\mathbb{Z}) \\ \zeta: & x\mapsto x^{-1}, & H\mapsto -H, & \end{array}$$

and the subgroups they generate in the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_1)$: $\mathbb{L}_1^*:=\{\sigma_u\,|\,u\in\mathcal{L}_1^*\}\simeq\mathcal{L}_1^*$, $\sigma_u\leftrightarrow u$; $\{s_i\,|\,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}\simeq\mathbb{Z}$, $s_i\leftrightarrow i$; and $\langle\zeta\rangle\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2:=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ since $\zeta^2=e$. We can easily check that

$$s_i\sigma_u s_i^{-1}=\sigma_{s_i(u)},\;\; \zeta\sigma_u\zeta^{-1}=\sigma_{\zeta\sigma_1^{-1}(u^{-1})},\;\; \zeta s_i\zeta^{-1}=s_i^{-1}. \tag{47}$$

Note that $\zeta\sigma_1\zeta^{-1}=\sigma_1^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ where $\sigma_1(H)=H-1$. It follows that the subgroup of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_1$ generated by all the automorphisms above is, in fact, the semidirect product

$$\langle\zeta\rangle\ltimes\{s_i\,|\,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}\ltimes\{\sigma_u\,|\,u\in\mathcal{L}_1^*\}\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2\ltimes\mathbb{Z}\ltimes(K^*\times\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})})$$

since, for $\varepsilon=0,1$; $i\in\mathbb{Z}$; and $u\in\mathcal{L}_1^*$,

$$\zeta^\varepsilon s_i\sigma_u: x\mapsto\zeta^\varepsilon s_i(u)\cdot\zeta^\varepsilon(x),\;\; H\mapsto(-1)^\varepsilon H-i,$$

and so $\zeta^\varepsilon s_i\sigma_u=e$ iff $\varepsilon=0$, $i=0$, and $u=1$. Theorem 5.5 shows that this is the whole group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$. Let us collect results that are used in the proof of Theorem 5.5. It follows from the fact that the fixed ring $\mathcal{L}_1^{\sigma_1}:=\{a\in\mathcal{L}_1\,|\,\sigma_1(a)=a)\}$ is equal to K that

$$\mathrm{Cen}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x)=K[x,x^{-1}]\;\text{ and }\;Z(\mathcal{A}_1)=K.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a Noetherian domain. Let $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ be its skew field of fractions. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ contains two skew polynomial rings, $K[H][x;\sigma_1]$ and $K[H][x^{-1};\sigma_1^{-1}]$ which are Noetherian domains. Moreover, $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ is their common skew field of fractions. The usual concepts of degree $\deg_x(\cdot)$ in x and $\deg_{x^{-1}}(\cdot)$ in x^{-1} for the algebras $K[H][x;\sigma_1]$ and $K[H][x^{-1};\sigma_1^{-1}]$ respectively can be extended to valuations of their skew field of fractions,

$$\deg_x(\cdot),\deg_{x^{-1}}(\cdot):\mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{A}_1)\to\mathbb{Z}\cup\{\infty\},$$

by the rule $\deg_{x^{\pm1}}(a^{-1}b)=\deg_{x^{\pm1}}(b)-\deg_{x^{\pm1}}(a)$ where $a,b\in\mathcal{A}_1$ with $a\neq0$. Note that

$$\mathrm{St}(x):=\{\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_1)\,|\,\sigma(x)=x\}=\{s_i\,|\,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$$

since, for each element $\sigma\in\mathrm{St}(x)$, $[\sigma(H)-H,x]=\sigma([H,x])-[H,x]=x-x=0$, and so $i:=\sigma(H)-H\in\mathrm{Cen}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x)=K[x,x^{-1}]$. Then $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ since the element $\sigma(H)=H+i$ must be invertible in $\mathcal{A}_1$. We extend the degree function $\deg_H(\cdot)$ from $K[H]$ to its field of fractions by the rule $\deg_H(ab^{-1})=\deg_H(a)-\deg_H(b)$. For a group G, $[G,G]$ denotes its *commutant*, i.e. the subgroup of G generated by all the *commutators* $[a,b]:=aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ of the elements $a,b\in G$. The centre of a group G is denoted by $Z(G)$.

Lemma 5.4 *1. The commutant $[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]$ of a skew product $A\ltimes B$ of two groups is equal to $[A,A]\ltimes([A,B]\cdot[B,B])$ where $[A,B]$ is the subgroup of B generated by all the commutators $[a,b]:=aba^{-1}b$ for $a\in A$ and $b\in B$. Hence, $B\cap[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]=[A,B]\cdot[B,B]$ and $\frac{A\ltimes B}{[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]}\simeq\frac{A}{[A,A]}\times\frac{B}{[A,B]\cdot[B,B]}$.*

2. If, in addition, the group B is a direct product of groups $\prod_{i=1}^m B_i$ such that $aB_ia^{-1}\subseteq B_i$ for all elements $a\in A$ and $i=1,\dots,m$ then $[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]=[A,A]\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^m([A,B_i][B_i,B_i])$.

Proof. 1. Note that $[a,b]=\omega_a(b)b^{-1}$ where $\omega_a(b):=aba^{-1}$. For $a\in A$ and $b,c\in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} c[a,b] &= c\omega_a(b)b^{-1}=\omega_a(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)^{-1}\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)bb^{-1} \\ &= \omega_a(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)^{-1}\cdot\omega_{a^{-1}}(c) \\ &= [a,\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b]\cdot\omega_{a^{-1}}(c). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these equalities (when, in addition, we chose $c \in [B,B]$) that the subgroup of B which is generated by its two subgroups, $[A,B]$ and $[B,B]$, is equal their set theoretic product $[A,B][B,B] := \{ef \,|\, e \in [A,B], f \in [B,B]\}$. Then the subgroup of $C := [A \ltimes B, A \ltimes B]$ which is generated by its three subgroups $[A,A]$, $[A,B]$, and $[B,B]$ is equal to the RHS, say R, of the equality of the lemma. It remains to prove that $C \subseteq R$. This inclusion follows from the fact that, for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$,

$$[a_1b_1, a_2b_2] = \omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2])\omega_{a_1a_2}([b_1,b_2])[a_1,a_2]\omega_{a_2}([a_1,b_2]) \tag{48}$$

which follows from the equalities $[ab,c] = \omega_a([b,c])[a,c]$ and $[a,b]^{-1} = [b,a]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1b_1, a_2b_2] &= \omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2b_2])[a_1,a_2b_2] = ([a_2b_2,a_1]\omega_{a_1}([a_2b_2,b_1]))^{-1} \\ &= (\omega_{a_2}(b_2,a_1])[a_2,a_1]\omega_{a_1}(\omega_{a_2}([b_2,b_1])[a_2,b_1])^{-1} \\ &= \omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2])\omega_{a_1a_2}([b_1,b_2])[a_1,a_2]\omega_{a_2}([a_1,b_2]). \end{aligned}$$

2. By statement 1, it suffices to show that $[A, \prod_{i=1}^m B_i] = \prod_{i=1}^m [A, B_i]$. The general case follows easily from the case when $m = 2$ (by induction). The case $m = 2$ follows from (48) where we put $b_1 = 1$, $a_1 \in A$, $a_2 \in B_1$, and $b_2 \in B_2$. $\square$

Theorem 5.5 *1.* $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{\sigma_{u,i,\pm 1} : x \mapsto ux^{\pm 1}, H \mapsto \pm H - i \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

2. $\mathcal{G}_1 = \langle \zeta \rangle \ltimes \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z} \ltimes (K^* \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})})$ *and* $Z(\mathcal{G}_1) = \{\sigma_\lambda \,|\, \lambda = \pm 1\}$.

3. $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \mathbb{L}_1^0$ *where* $\mathbb{L}_1^0 := \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1^0\}$.

4. $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \{\zeta^\varepsilon \sigma_{\lambda H^i} \cdot \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1) \,|\, \varepsilon = 0,1; \lambda \in K^*; i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes (K^* \times \mathbb{Z})$.

5. $[\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1), \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1)] = \mathbb{L}_1^{00}$ *where* $\mathbb{L}_1^{00} := \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1^{00}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$.

6. $[\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_1] = \{s_{2i} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in K^{*2}\mathcal{L}_1^0\langle -H^2 \rangle\}$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_1/[\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_1] \simeq \langle \zeta \rangle \times \frac{\langle s_1 \rangle}{\langle s_1^2 \rangle} \times \frac{(K/K^{*2} \times \langle H \rangle)}{\langle -H^2 \rangle}$.

Proof. 2. Note that $\sigma_{u,i,\pm 1} = \zeta^{\varepsilon_\pm} s_i \sigma_{s_{-i}\zeta^{-\varepsilon_\pm}(u)}$ where $\varepsilon_+ = 0$ and $\varepsilon_- = 1$, and so the first equality follows from statement 1. The centre of the group $\mathcal{G}_1$ is $\{\sigma_\lambda \,|\, \lambda = \pm 1\}$ follows from (47).

1. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and H' be the skew direct product of groups in statement 2. We have to show that $\sigma \in H'$. The automorphism σ can be extended to an automorphism of the skew field $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Since $\deg_x(\sigma(F^*)) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\deg_{x^{-1}}(\sigma(F^*)) = \mathbb{Z}$ where $F^* := \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{A}_1) \backslash \{0\}$, we must have $\sigma(x) = ax^{-1} + b + cx$ for some elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}_1$. Since $\sigma(x)$ is a unit, we must have either $\sigma(x) = ux$ or $\sigma(x) = ux^{-1}$ for some element $u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*$. In both cases, we can find an element, say τ, of the group H' such that $\tau\sigma(x) = x$, i.e. $\tau\sigma \in \mathrm{St}(x) = \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and so $\sigma \in H'$.

3. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ is central, i.e. $Z(\mathcal{A}_1) = K$, and so $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1) \simeq \mathcal{A}_1^*/K^* \simeq \{x^i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \mathcal{L}_1'$. For each $u \in \mathcal{L}_1'$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the inner automorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ generated by the element ux^i is equal to $\omega_{ux^i} = \sigma_{\frac{u}{\sigma_1(u)}, i, +1}$. Note that for each element $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\}$,

$$H + m = \sigma_1^{-m}(H) = H \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\sigma_1^{-m+i}(H)}{\sigma_1^{-m+i+1}(H)} = H \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_1^{-m+i}(H)}{\sigma_1(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_1^{-m+i}(H))}. \tag{49}$$

So, an element $v \in \mathcal{L}_1'$ is of type $\frac{u}{\sigma_1(u)}$ for some element $u \in \mathcal{L}_1'$ iff $\deg_H(v) = 0$ iff $v \in \mathcal{L}_1^0$. Now, it is obvious that $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \{\omega_{ux^i} \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1', i \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in \mathcal{L}_1^0\} = \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes \mathbb{L}_1^0$.

4. Statement 4 follows from statements 2 and 3.

5. By statement 3, the group $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ is the semi-direct product of two abelian groups. By Lemma 5.4.(1), the commutant C of the group $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ is generated by the commutators $[s_i, \sigma_u] = \sigma_{\frac{\sigma_1^i(u)}{u}}$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_1^0$. Repeating the arguments of the proof of statement 3 where the element H is replaced by $\frac{H}{H+1}$, we see that $C = \mathbb{L}_1^{00}$.

6. Let us prove the equality. The commutant of the group $H_1 := \langle \zeta \rangle \ltimes \{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is $\{s_{2i} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, by (47) and Lemma 5.4.(1). By statement 2, the group $\mathcal{G}_1$ is the semi-direct product $H_1 \ltimes \mathbb{L}_1^*$. By

Lemma 5.4.(1), $[\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_1] = \{s_{2i} \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \ltimes [H_1, \mathbb{L}_1^*]$ since the group $\mathbb{L}_1^*$ is abelian. It remains to show that $[H_1, \mathbb{L}_1^*] = \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in K^{*2}\mathcal{L}_1^0\langle -H^2\rangle\}$. The group H_1 is the disjoint union $\{s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\zeta s_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The commutators $[s_i, \sigma_u] = \sigma_{\frac{s_i(u)}{u}} = \sigma_{\frac{\sigma_1^i(u)}{u}}$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*$ generate the group $\mathbb{L}_1^0$. The group $\mathbb{L}_1^*$ is abelian and $\mathbb{L}_1^0 \subseteq \mathbb{L}_1^*$. The commutator

$$[\zeta s_i, \sigma_u] = \sigma_{\zeta\sigma_1^{i-1}(u^{-1})u^{-1}} = \sigma_{\sigma_1^{-i+1}\zeta(u^{-1})u^{-1}}$$

is an element of the group $\mathbb{L}_1^*$. Now,

$$[\zeta s_i, \sigma_u] \equiv \sigma_{\frac{\sigma_1^{-i+1}\zeta(u^{-1})}{\zeta(u^{-1})}\cdot\zeta(u^{-1})u^{-1}} \equiv \sigma_{\zeta(u^{-1})u^{-1}} \mod \mathbb{L}_1^0.$$

The element $u \in \mathcal{L}_1^* = K^* \times \mathcal{L}_1'$ is a unique product $u = \lambda v$ where $\lambda \in K^*$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}_1'$. The groups $\mathbb{L}_1^*$ and $\mathcal{L}_1^*$ are isomorphic via $\sigma_u \mapsto u$. The map $\mathcal{L}_1^* \to \mathcal{L}_1^*$, $u \mapsto \zeta(u^{-1})u^{-1}$, is a group homomorphism since the group $\mathcal{L}_1^*$ is abelian. When $u = \lambda$, $\zeta(\lambda^{-1})\lambda^{-1} = \lambda^{-2}$, and so $\{\sigma_\mu, \,|\, \mu \in K^{*2}\} \subseteq [H_1, \mathbb{L}_1^*]$. For an arbitrary element $u = \lambda v$,

$$\zeta(u^{-1})u^{-1} \equiv \zeta(v^{-1})v^{-1} \equiv (-H^2)^{-d} \mod K^{*2} \times \mathcal{L}_1^0,$$

where $d = \deg_H(v)$. Therefore, $[H_1, \mathbb{L}_1^*] = \{\sigma_u \,|\, u \in K^{*2}\mathcal{L}_1^0\langle -H^2\rangle\}$, as required. It follows from the equality for the commutant of the group $\mathcal{G}_1$ that in statement 6 the isomorphism holds. $\square$

The group of units $(1+F)^*$ **and** $\mathbb{S}_1^*$. Recall that the algebra (without 1) $F = \bigoplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} KE_{ij}$ is the union $M_\infty(K) := \bigcup_{d\geq 1} M_d(K) = \varinjlim M_d(K)$ of the matrix algebras $M_d(K) := \bigoplus_{1\leq i,j\leq d-1} KE_{ij}$, i.e. $F = M_\infty(K)$. For each $d \geq 1$, consider the (usual) determinant $\det_d = \det : 1 + M_d(K) \to K$, $u \mapsto \det(u)$. These determinants determine the (global) *determinant*,

$$\det : 1 + M_\infty(K) = 1 + F \to K, \quad u \mapsto \det(u), \tag{50}$$

where $\det(u)$ is the common value of all determinants $\det_d(u)$, $d \gg 1$. The (global) determinant has usual properties of the determinant. In particular, for all $u, v \in 1 + M_\infty(K)$, $\det(uv) = \det(u) \cdot \det(v)$. It follows from this equality and the Cramer's formula for the inverse of a matrix that the group $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) := (1 + M_\infty(K))^*$ of units of the monoid $1 + M_\infty(K)$ is equal to

$$\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) = \{u \in 1 + M_\infty(K) \,|\, \det(u) \neq 0\}. \tag{51}$$

Therefore,

$$(1 + F)^* = \{u \in 1 + F \,|\, \det(u) \neq 0\} = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K). \tag{52}$$

The kernel

$$\mathrm{SL}_\infty(K) := \{u \in \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \,|\, \det(u) = 1\}$$

of the group epimorphism $\det : \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \to K^*$ is a *normal* subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) = U(K) \ltimes E_\infty(K)$ is the semi-direct product of its two subgroups where $U(K) := \{\mu(\lambda) := \lambda E_{00} + 1 - E_{00} \,|\, \lambda \in K^*\} \simeq K^*$, $\mu(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \lambda$, and $E_\infty(K)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ generated by all the elementary matrices $1 + \lambda E_{ij}$ where $\lambda \in K$ and $i \neq j$. It is obvious that $E_\infty(K) = \mathrm{SL}_\infty(K)$, $E_\infty(K) = [E_\infty(K), E_\infty(K)] = [\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K), \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)]$ and $\det(\mu(\lambda)) = \lambda$.

For all elements $\sigma \in \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1$ and $\mu(\lambda) \in U(K)$, $\sigma(\mu(\lambda)) = \mu(\lambda)$. Therefore,

$$\sigma\omega_{\mu(\lambda)} = \omega_{\mu(\lambda)}\sigma \tag{53}$$

since $\sigma\omega_{\mu(\lambda)} = \sigma\omega_{\mu(\lambda)}\sigma^{-1} \cdot \sigma = \omega_{\sigma(\mu(\lambda))}\sigma = \omega_{\mu(\lambda)}\sigma$.

Theorem 5.6 (Theorem 4.6, [11])

1. *$\mathbb{S}_1^* = K^*(1 + F)^* \simeq K^* \times \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$.*
2. *$Z(\mathbb{S}_1^*) = K^*$ and $Z((1 + F)^*) = \{1\}$.*

3. $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$*,* $\omega_u \leftrightarrow u$*.*

Theorem 5.7 *1.* $\mathbb{G}_1 = (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$*.*

2. $\mathbb{G}_1 \simeq (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$*.*

3. $[\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_1] \simeq \{\omega_u \,|\, u \in E_\infty(K)\}$ *and* $\mathbb{G}_1/[\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_1] \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1 \times U(K) \simeq K^* \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})} \times K^*$*.*

4. The group $\mathbb{G}_1$ *is generated by the following elements:* t_λ *where* $\lambda \in K^*$*,* μ_u *where* $u \in \{(H+i), (H-j)_1 \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}\}$*, and* ω_v *where* $v \in (1+F)^* \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$*.*

Proof. 1. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_1$. By Lemma 4.10, $(\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_1$. It remains to show that the reverse inclusion holds, that is $\sigma \in (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\sigma(F) = F$, and so the map

$$\overline{\sigma} : \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_1/F \to \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}_1/F, \;\; \overline{a} = a + F \mapsto \sigma(a) + F,$$

is an isomorphism of the skew Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1[x, x^{-1}; \sigma_1]$ where $\sigma_1(H) = H - 1$. By Theorem 5.5.(1), either either $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \overline{\lambda}x^{-1}$ or, otherwise, $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \overline{\lambda}x$ for some element $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_1^*$. Equivalently, either $\sigma(y) = \lambda y + f$ or $\sigma(y) = \lambda x + f$ for some element $\lambda \in K^* \times \mathcal{H}$ (see (33)) and $f \in F$. By Lemma 5.3, the second case is impossible since, by (46),

$$1 = \mathrm{ind}(y) = \mathrm{ind}(\sigma(y)) = \mathrm{ind}(\lambda x + f) = -\deg_x(\overline{\lambda}x) = -1.$$

Therefore, $\sigma(y) = \lambda y + f$. The element $\lambda \in K^* \times \mathcal{H}$ is a unique product $\lambda = \nu u$ where $\nu \in K^*$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, $t_\nu \mu_u \sigma(y) = y + g$ where $g := t_\nu \mu_u(f) \in F$ since $t_\nu \mu_u(F) = F$ (Lemma 4.1). Fix a natural number m such that $g \in \sum_{i,j=0}^m KE_{ij}$. Then the finite dimensional vector spaces

$$V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} Kx^i \subset V' := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m+1} Kx^i$$

are y'-invariant where $y' := t_\nu \mu_u \sigma(y) = y + g$. Note that $y' * x^{m+1} = y * x^{m+1} = x^m$ since $g * x^{m+1} = 0$. Note that $P_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \ker(y^i)$ and $\dim \ker_{P_1}(y) = 1$. Since the $\mathbb{A}_1$-modules P_1 and ${}^{t_\nu \mu_u \sigma}P_1$ are isomorphic (Proposition 4.2), $P_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \ker(y'^i)$ and $\dim \ker_{P_1}(y') = 1$. This implies that the elements $x_0', x_1', \ldots, x_m', x^{m+1}$ are a K-basis for the vector space V' where

$$x_i' := y'^{m+1-i} * x^{m+1}, \;\; i = 0, 1, \ldots, m;$$

and the elements $x_0', x_1', \ldots, x_m'$ are a K-basis for the vector space V. Then the elements

$$x_0', x_1', \ldots, x_m', x^{m+1}, x^{m+2}, \ldots$$

are a K-basis for the vector space P_1. The K-linear map

$$\varphi : P_1 \to P_1, \;\; x^i \mapsto x_i' \; (i = 0, 1, \ldots, m), \; x^j \mapsto x^j \; (j > m), \tag{54}$$

belongs to the group $(1+F)^* = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \simeq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ (by Theorem 5.6) and satisfies the property that $y'\varphi = \varphi y$, the equality is in $\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$. This equality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\omega_{\varphi^{-1}} t_\nu \mu_u \sigma(y) = y \;\text{ where }\; \omega_{\varphi^{-1}} \in \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1).$$

By Theorem 4.12, $\sigma = t_{\nu^{-1}} \mu_{u^{-1}} \omega_\varphi \in (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$, as required.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1 since $\mathbb{U}_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ (by (36)) and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ (by Theorem 5.6).

3. Let us identify the groups $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ via $\omega_u \leftrightarrow u$ (Theorem 5.6.(3)). By statement 1, $\mathbb{G}_1 = (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$. By Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_1] & = & [\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1, \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)] \cdot [\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K), \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)] = [\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1, U(K) \ltimes E_\infty(K)] \cdot E_\infty(K) \\ & = & [\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1, U(K)] \cdot E_\infty(K) = E_\infty(K) \quad (\text{by } (53)), \\ \mathbb{G}_1/[\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_1] & \simeq & (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1 \times U(K)) \ltimes E_\infty(K)/E_\infty(K) \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1 \times U(K) \simeq K^* \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})} \times K^*. \end{array}$$

4. Statement 4 follows from statement 1. $\square$

Corollary 5.8 *Each automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is a unique product $\sigma = t_{\nu^{-1}}\mu_{u^{-1}}\omega_\varphi$ where $\sigma(y) \equiv \nu u y \mod F$ and $\varphi \in (1+F)^* = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is defined in (54).*

Proof. The result was established in the proof of Theorem 5.7.(1) apart from the uniqueness of φ which follows from the fact that the centre of the group $(1+F)^* = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is $\{1\}$, Theorem 5.6.(2). $\Box$

Corollary 5.9 $\xi(\mathbb{G}_1) = \{\sigma_u \mid u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*\}$ *and* $\ker(\xi) = \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$.

Proof. Since $\xi : \mathbb{T}^1 \ltimes \mathbb{U}_1 \simeq \{\sigma_u \mid u \in \mathcal{L}_1^*\}$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1) \subseteq \ker(\xi)$, the statements follow from the equality $\mathbb{G}_1 = (\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{U}_1) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ (Theorem 5.7.(1)). $\Box$

By Theorem 4.2, [6], the group of units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is

$$\mathbb{A}_1^* = K^* \times (\mathcal{H} \ltimes (1+F)^*). \tag{55}$$

It is a trivial observation that every algebra endomorphism of a *simple* algebra is a *monomorphism*. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is not simple but for it, as the following theorem shows, the same result is true as for the simple algebras.

Theorem 5.10 *Every algebra endomorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. Recall that F is the only proper ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$, and $\mathbb{A}_1/F = \mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{L}_1 \simeq K[x, x^{-1}; \sigma_1]$ is a simple algebra where $\sigma_1(H) = H-1$. Suppose that γ is an algebra endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}_1$ which is not a monomorphism, then necessarily $\gamma(F) = 0$, and the endomorphism γ induces the algebra monomorphism $\overline{\gamma} : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathbb{A}_1$, $a + F \mapsto \gamma(a)$. We seek a contradiction. Since $yx = 1$ and $xy = 1 - E_{00}$, we have the equalities $\gamma(y)\gamma(x) = 1$ and $\gamma(x)\gamma(y) = 1$, i.e. the elements $\gamma(x)$ and $\gamma(y)$ are units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ is generated by the elements x, y, and $H^{\pm 1}$, and so the *noncommutative* simple algebra $\gamma(\mathbb{A}_1)$ is generated by the units $\gamma(x)$, $\gamma(y)$, and $\gamma(H^{\pm 1})$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$. By (55), the images of the elements $\gamma(x)$, $\gamma(y)$, and $\gamma(H^{\pm 1})$ under the epimorphism $\pi : \mathbb{A}_1 \to \mathbb{A}_1/F$ belong to the commutative group $\pi(\mathbb{A}_1^*) = K^* \times \pi(\mathcal{H})$, and so they commute, this contradicts to the fact that $\pi\overline{\gamma}(\mathcal{A}_1) \simeq \mathcal{A}_1$ is a noncommutative algebra. $\Box$

6 The group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$

Let $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$. Since $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_1(i) \simeq \mathcal{A}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathcal{A}_1(i) = \mathcal{L}_1(i)[x_i^{\pm 1}; \sigma_i]$, $\sigma_i(H_i) = H_i - 1$, $\mathcal{L}_1(i) = K[H_i, (H_i+j)^{-1}]_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, the group $\mathcal{G}_n$ contains the symmetric group S_n (elements of which permutes the tensor multiples of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$) and the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_1(i)$ where $\mathcal{G}_1(i) := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))$. Moreover, $S_n \ltimes \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_1(i) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n$. Our goal is to show that the equality holds (Theorem 6.1.(1)). The group of units $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{A}_n^* = K^* \times (\mathbb{X}_n \ltimes \mathcal{L}_n')$$

where $\mathbb{X}_n := \{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$, $\mathcal{L}_n' := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_1'(i)$, and $\mathcal{L}_1'(i) := \{\prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}(H_i+j)^{n_{ij}} \mid (n_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$. For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathcal{L}_1^0(i) = \{u \in \mathcal{L}_1'(i) \mid \deg_{H_i}(u) = 0\}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{L}_1'(i)$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_n^0 := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_1^0(i) = \{u \in \mathcal{L}_n' \mid \deg_{H_1}(u) = \cdots = \deg_{H_n}(u) = 0\}$$

is the subgroup of $\mathcal{L}_n'$. The commutant of the group $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ is equal to

$$[\mathcal{A}_n^*, \mathcal{A}_n^*] = \mathcal{L}_n^0. \tag{56}$$

In more detail, by Lemma 5.4, $[\mathcal{A}_n^*, \mathcal{A}_n^*] = [\mathbb{X}_n, \mathcal{L}_n'] = \prod_{i=1}^n [\mathbb{X}_1(i), \mathcal{L}_1'(i)] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_1^0(i) = \mathcal{L}_n^0$. Let A be a K-algebra and $Z(A)$ be its centre. For each element $a \in A$, $\mathrm{ad}(a) : b \mapsto ab - ba$ is the *inner derivation* of the algebra A associated with the element a. An element $a \in A \backslash Z(A)$ is called a *strongly semi-simple* element of the algebra A if $A=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in E}\ker(\mathrm{ad}(a)-\lambda)$ where $E=\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{ad}(a),A)$ is the set of eigenvalues for the inner derivation $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ that belong to the field K . If a is a strongly semi-simple element of the algebra A then so is the element $\sigma(a)$ for every automorphism σ of the algebra A.

Theorem 6.1 *1.* $\mathcal{G}_n = S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)$.

2. $Z(\mathcal{G}_n)=\{e,\sigma_{(-1,\ldots,-1)}\}$ *where* $\sigma_{(-1,\ldots,-1)}: x_i\mapsto -x_i,\ H_i\mapsto H_i,\ i=1,\ldots,n$.

3. $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)\simeq\prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))$.

4. $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_n)\simeq S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))$.

5. $[\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n),\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)]=\prod_{i=1}^n[\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i)),\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))]\simeq\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{L}_1^{00}(i)$.

6. $[\mathcal{G}_n,\mathcal{G}_n]=[S_n,S_n]\ltimes([S_n,\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)]\cdot\prod_{i=1}^n[\mathcal{G}_1(i),\mathcal{G}_1(i)])$ *and* $\frac{\mathcal{G}_n}{[\mathcal{G}_n,\mathcal{G}_n]}\simeq\frac{S_n}{[S_n,S_n]}\times\frac{\mathcal{G}_1}{[\mathcal{G}_1,\mathcal{G}_1]}$, *see Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.5.(6) for details. If, in addition, the field K is algebraically closed then* $\frac{\mathcal{G}_n}{[\mathcal{G}_n,\mathcal{G}_n]}\simeq\begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_2^3 & \text{if } n=1,\\ \mathbb{Z}_2^4 & \text{if } n>1.\end{cases}$

Proof. 1. Let $\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_n$. We have to show that $\sigma\in S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)$. The restriction of the automorphism σ to the group $[\mathcal{A}_n^*,\mathcal{A}_n^*]=\mathcal{L}_n^0$, see (56), yields its automorphism. For each number $i=1,\ldots,n$, $1+H_i^{-1}=\frac{H_i+1}{H_i}\in\mathcal{L}_n^0$, and so $\sigma(1+H_i^{-1})\in\mathcal{L}_n^0\subset\mathcal{L}_n^*$, i.e. $\sigma(H_i)\in\mathcal{L}_n^*=K^*\times\mathcal{L}_n'$. The element H_i is a *strongly semi-simple* element of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ with $\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{ad}(H_i),\mathcal{A}_n)=\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\sigma(H_i)$ is a strongly semi-simple element of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ with $\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{ad}(\sigma(H_i)),\mathcal{A}_n)=\mathbb{Z}$. It is not difficult to show that each strongly semi-simple element of the set $\mathcal{L}_n^*$ is of type $\lambda(H_k+j)$ for some $\lambda\in K^*$ and $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\sigma(H_i)=\lambda_i(H_{s(i)}+j_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, for some $s\in S_n$, $\lambda_i\in K^*$, and $j_i\in\mathbb{Z}$. Up to action of the group S_n we may assume that $s=e$, i.e. $\sigma(H_i)=\lambda_i(H_i+j_i)$. Then, up to action of the group $\{\omega_v\,|\,v\in\mathbb{X}_n\}$, see (13), we may assume that all $j_i=0$, i.e. $\sigma(H_i)=\lambda_iH_i$. Now,

$$\mathbb{Z}=\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{ad}(\sigma(H_i)),\mathcal{A}_n)=\mathrm{Ev}(\lambda_i\mathrm{ad}(H_i),\mathcal{A}_n)=\lambda_i\mathrm{Ev}(\mathrm{ad}(H_i),\mathcal{A}_n)=\lambda_i\mathbb{Z},$$

and so $\lambda_i=\pm1$. The group $\mathcal{G}_1(i)$ contains the automorphism $\zeta_i: x_i\mapsto x_i^{-1}$, $H_i\mapsto -H_i$, of order 2. Up to action of the group $\prod_{i=1}^n\langle\zeta_i\rangle$, we may assume that all $\lambda_i=1$, i.e. $\sigma(H_i)=H_i$. Then $\sigma^{-1}(H_i)=H_i$ for all i. For each element $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha=\bigcap_{i=1}^n\ker_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathrm{ad}(H_i)-\alpha_i)$. Therefore, $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha)\subseteq\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha$ and $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha)\subseteq\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha$, i.e. $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha)=\mathcal{L}_nx^\alpha$. Therefore, $\sigma(x_i)=u_ix_i$ for some element $u_i\in\mathcal{L}_n^*$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. By Theorem 5.5.(2), the group $\mathcal{G}_1(i)$ contains the subgroup $\{\sigma_{v_i}\,|\,v_i\in\mathcal{L}_1^*(i)\}$. Up to action of the group $\prod_{i=1}^n\{\sigma_{v_i}\,|\,v_i\in\mathcal{L}_1^*(i)\}$, we may assume that $u_i\in K^*\prod_{j\neq i}^n\mathcal{L}_1'(j)$. In particular, $\sigma_i(u_i)=u_i$ for all i. For each pair of indices $i\neq j$, the elements $\sigma(x_i)$ and $\sigma(x_j)$ commute, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_i(u_iu_j)x_ix_j &= u_i\sigma_i(u_j)x_ix_j=u_ix_iu_jx_j=\sigma(x_i)\sigma(x_j)=\sigma(x_j)\sigma(x_i)\\ &= u_jx_ju_ix_i=u_j\sigma_j(u_i)x_jx_i=\sigma_j(u_iu_j)x_ix_j,\end{aligned}$$

hence $\sigma_i(u_iu_j)=\sigma_j(u_iu_j)$. By the very choice of the elements u_i, this is possible iff $\sigma_i(u_iu_j)=u_iu_j$ and $\sigma_j(u_iu_j)=u_iu_j$ iff $u_i\sigma_i(u_j)=u_iu_j$ and $\sigma_j(u_i)u_j=u_iu_j$ iff $\sigma_i(u_j)=u_j$ and $\sigma_j(u_i)=u_i$ iff all $u_i\in K^*$. Now, it is obvious that $\sigma\in\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)$.

2. We assume that $n>1$ since for $n=1$ the statement is true (Theorem 5.5.(2)). For each element $s\in S_n$, the restriction of the inner automorphism $\omega_s: t\mapsto sts^{-1}$ of the group $\mathcal{G}_n$ to its normal subgroup $\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)$ permutes the components. Therefore, the centre Z of the group $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)$, and so $Z\subseteq\prod_{i=1}^n Z(\mathcal{G}_1(i))$. Now, statement 2 follows from Theorem 5.5.(2).

3. $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)\simeq\mathcal{A}_n^*/K^*\simeq\prod_{i=1}^n(\mathcal{A}_1^*(i)/K^*)\simeq\prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1^*(i))$.

4. $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_n)=\mathcal{G}_n/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)\simeq(S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i))/\prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\simeq S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathcal{G}_1(i)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\simeq S_n\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))$.

5. Statement 5 follows from statement 3.

6. Statement 6 follows from statement 1, Lemma 5.4, and Theorem 5.5.(6). $\square$

7 The group of automorphisms of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$

In this section, the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ and $\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ are found (Theorem 7.7, Theorem 7.6, and Corollary 7.8). The image of the homomorphism ξ (Theorem 7.2), its kernel (Corollary 7.4), and the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading preserving automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ are found (Corollary 7.10), and the stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ of all the height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is described (Corollary 7.9). These results are used in Section 8 where the stabilizers of all the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ are found. It is proved that the groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and $\ker(\xi)$ have trivial centre (Theorem 7.15, Theorem 7.17). Explicit inversion formulae for automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ and $\sigma \in G_n$ are obtained, (62) and (65).

A characterization of the elements of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$. For each automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, the next corollary gives explicitly the map $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ such that $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$ (see Corollary 4.8). Corollary 7.1 is used at the final stage of the proof of Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 7.7.

Lemma 7.1 *For each automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, there exists a K-basis $\{x'^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}$ of the polynomial algebra P_n such that $\sigma(H_i) * x'^{\alpha} = (\alpha_i+1)x'^{\alpha}$ and $\sigma(y_i) * x'^{\alpha} = x'^{\alpha-e_i}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (where $x'^{\beta} := 0$ if $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \mathbb{N}^n$). Then*

1. *$\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$ where the map $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$: $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^{\alpha}$ is the change-of-the-basis map,*
2. *$\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha} = x'^{\alpha+e_i}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and*
3. *the basis $\{x'^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}$ is unique up to a simultaneous multiplication of each element of the basis by the same nonzero scalar.*

Proof. Recall that the polynomial algebra $P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha$ is the direct sum of non-isomorphic, one-dimensional, simple $K[H_1, \ldots, H_n]$-modules (see (28)) such that $y_i * x^\alpha = x^{\alpha-e_i}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (where $x^\beta := 0$ if $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \mathbb{N}^n$). Recall that $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$ for some linear map $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$, the linear map $\varphi : P_n \to {}^{\sigma}P_n$ is an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module isomorphism (Corollary 4.8.(1)), and the map φ is unique up to a multiplication by a nonzero scalar since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{A}_n}(P_n) \simeq K$ (Corollary 4.5.(1)). Let $x'^{\alpha} := \varphi(x^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then the fact that the map φ is an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module homomorphism is equivalent to the fact that the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(H_i) * x'^{\alpha} &= \varphi H_i \varphi^{-1} \varphi * x^\alpha = (\alpha_i+1)\varphi * x^\alpha = (\alpha_i+1)x'^{\alpha},\\
\sigma(y_i) * x'^{\alpha} &= \varphi y_i \varphi^{-1} \varphi * x^\alpha = \varphi * x^{\alpha-e_i} = x'^{\alpha_i-e_i},\\
\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha} &= \varphi x_i \varphi^{-1} \varphi * x^\alpha = \varphi * x^{\alpha+e_i} = x'^{\alpha_i+e_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality follows from the previous two: by the first equality, the polynomial algebra $P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx'^{\alpha}$ is the direct sum of non-isomorphic, one-dimensional, simple $K[\sigma(H_1), \ldots, \sigma(H_n)]$-modules. Since $\sigma(H_i)\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha} = \sigma(H_i x_i) * x'^{\alpha} = \sigma(x_i(H_i+1)) * x'^{\alpha} = \sigma(x_i)(\sigma(H_i)+1) * x'^{\alpha} = (\alpha_i+2)\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha}$ for all i, we have $\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha} = \lambda_{i,\alpha} x'^{\alpha+e_i}$ for a scalar $\lambda_{i,\alpha}$ which is necessarily equal to 1 since

$$x'^{\alpha} = \sigma(y_i)\sigma(x_i) * x'^{\alpha} = \lambda_{i,\alpha}\sigma(y_i) * x'^{\alpha+e_i} = \lambda_{i,\alpha} x'^{\alpha}.$$

Since the isomorphism φ is unique up to a multiplication by a nonzero scalar, the basis $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ is unique up to a simultaneous multiplication of each element of it by the same nonzero scalar. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Let $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} A_\alpha$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra. Each nonzero element a of A is a unique sum $\sum a_\alpha$ where $a_\alpha \in A_\alpha$. Define $|a| := \max\{|\alpha_1|, \ldots, |\alpha_n| \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\}$ and set $|0| := 0$. For all elements $a, b \in A$ and $\lambda \in K^*$, $|a+b| \leq \max\{|a|, |b|\}$, $|ab| \leq |a| + |b|$, and $|\lambda a| = |a|$. In particular, $|a^m| \leq m|a|$ for all $m \geq 1$. In the proof of Theorem 7.2, we use the concept of $|\cdot|$ in the case of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

For each natural number $d \geq 1$, there is the decomposition $K[x_i] = (\bigoplus_{j=0}^{d-1} Kx_i^j) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{k \geq d} Kx_i^k)$. The idempotents of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, $p(i,d) := \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} E_{jj}(i)$ and $q(i,d) := 1 - p(i,d)$, are the projections onto the first and the second summand correspondingly. Since $P_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K[x_i]$, the identity map $1 = \mathrm{id}_{P_n}$ on the vector space P_n is the sum

$$1 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (p(i,d) + q(i,d)) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} p(I,d)q(CI,d) \tag{57}$$

of orthogonal idempotents where $p(I,d) := \prod_{i \in I} p(i,d)$, $q(CI,d) := \prod_{i \in CI} q(i,d)$, $p(\emptyset, d) := 1$, and $q(\emptyset, d) := 1$. Each idempotent $p(I,d)q(CI,d) \in \mathbb{S}_n \subset \mathbb{A}_n \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is the projection onto the summand $P_n(I,d)$ in the decomposition for P_n,

$$P_n = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} P_n(I,d), \;\; P_n(I,d) := \bigoplus \{Kx^\alpha \,|\, \alpha_i < d, \text{ if } i \in I; \alpha_j \geq d, \text{ if } j \in CI\}. \tag{58}$$

In particular, the idempotent $q(\{1,\ldots,n\},d)$ is the projection onto the subspace $P_n(\{1,\ldots,n\},d) = \bigoplus \{Kx^\alpha \,|\, \text{all } \alpha_i \geq d\}$.

A formula for the map φ such that $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$. By Theorem 4.12, each element $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi \in \mathbb{G}_n$ is uniquely determined by the elements $\sigma(y_1), \ldots, \sigma(y_n)$. In the proof of Theorem 7.2, an explicit formula for the map φ is given, (60), via the elements $\sigma(y_1), \ldots, \sigma(y_n)$.

By the very definition, the group $\ker(\xi)$ contains precisely all the automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ such that

$$\sigma(x_i) \equiv x_i \mod \mathfrak{a}_n, \;\; \sigma(y_i) \equiv y_i \mod \mathfrak{a}_n, \;\; \sigma(H_i) \equiv H_i \mod \mathfrak{a}_n, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Theorem 7.2 *1.* $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$.

2. $\mathrm{im}(\xi) = S_n \ltimes \prod_{i=1}^n \{\sigma_{u_i} \,|\, u_i \in \mathcal{L}_1^*(i)\}$ *where* $\sigma_{u_i} \in \mathcal{G}_1(i) : x_i \mapsto u_i x_i$, $H_i \mapsto H_i$.

3. $\ker(\xi) \subseteq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.

Proof. 1. Statement 1 follows from statement 2. Indeed, suppose that statement 2 holds. Then the restriction of the homomorphism ξ to the subgroup $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n)$ of $\mathbb{G}_n$ yields an isomorphism to $\mathrm{im}(\xi)$, and so statement 1 follows from the short exact sequence of groups: $1 \to \ker(\xi) \to \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathrm{im}(\xi) \to 1$.

2. For $n = 1$, statement 2 is obvious (Corollary 5.9). Let $n \geq 2$. Let R be the RHS of the equality in statement 2. For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$, consider the subgroup $\Gamma(i) := \langle \zeta_i \rangle \ltimes \{s_{i,j} \,|\, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_1(i)$ where $\zeta_i : x_i \mapsto x_i^{-1}$, $H_i \mapsto -H_i$; and $s_{i,j} : x_i \mapsto x_i$, $H_i \mapsto H_i - j$. Then $\Gamma_n := \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(i)$ is the subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_1(i)$. Using the descriptions of the groups $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_1(i)$ (Theorem 6.1.(1) and Theorem 5.5.(2)) and (47), we see that the group $\mathcal{G}_n$ is equal to the set theoretic product $R \cdot \Gamma_n := \{r\gamma \,|\, r \in R, \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}$ with $R \cap \Gamma_n = \{e\}$. Since $\xi : S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \simeq R$, we have

$$\mathrm{im}(\xi) = \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{G}_n = \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap R\Gamma_n = R \cdot (\mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \Gamma_n).$$

All the elements $y_i \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are locally nilpotent maps. For all automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$, the elements $\sigma(y_i) \in \mathbb{A}_n \subseteq \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are locally nilpotent maps since ${}^\sigma P_n \simeq P_n$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \Gamma_n = \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Sh}_n$$

where $\mathrm{Sh}_n := \prod_{i=1}^n \{s_{i,j} \,|\, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the subgroup of Γ_n (otherwise, take $\sigma \in \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \Gamma_n \backslash \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Sh}_n$, then $\sigma(y_i) = x_i + a_i$ for some i and $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}_n$, but the element $x_i + a_i$ is not a locally nilpotent map as $(x_i + a_i)^j * (x_1 \cdots x_n)^k = x_i^j (x_1 \cdots x_n)^k \neq 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $k \gg 0$, a contradiction).

It remains to show that $\mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Sh}_n = \{e\}$. Let $\xi(\sigma) \in \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Sh}_n$ for an element $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. There exist elements $j := (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma(H_i) - H_i - j_i, \; \sigma(x_i) - x_i, \; \sigma(y_i) - y_i \in \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{A}_{n-1,k} \otimes (\sum_{s,t=0}^{d-1} KE_{st}(k)) \;\text{ for all }\; i, \tag{59}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{n-1,k} := \bigotimes_{l\neq k} \mathbb{A}_1(l)$. We have to show that all $j_i = 0$. In fact, it suffices to show only that all $j_i \leq 0$ (indeed, since $\sigma^{-1}(H_i) \equiv H_i - j_i \mod \mathfrak{a}_n$, then $-j_i \leq 0$, i.e. $j_i = 0$ for all i). Suppose that there exists an index, say n, such that $j_n > 0$. We seek a contradiction. For vectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we write $\alpha \succeq \beta$ if $\alpha_1 \geq \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \geq \beta_n$. By the choice of the number d, for all elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\alpha \succeq \underline{d} := (d, \ldots, d)$ and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(H_i) * x^\alpha &= (H_i + j_i) * x^\alpha = (\alpha_i + 1 + j_i)x^\alpha, \\
\sigma(x_i) * x^\alpha &= x_i * x^\alpha = x^{\alpha+e_i}, \\
\sigma(y_i) * x^\alpha &= y_i * x^\alpha = x^{\alpha-e_i} \quad (x^\beta := 0 \text{ if } \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \mathbb{N}^n).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.1, for the automorphism $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$ there exists a *unique* K-basis $\{x'^\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}$ of the polynomial algebra P_n such that $x'^{\alpha+j} = x^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \succeq \underline{d}$, and the map $\varphi : P_n \to {}^\sigma P_n$, $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^\alpha$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n)$, is an $\mathbb{A}_n$-module isomorphism. For each natural number t, the set $C_t := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \,|\, \text{all } \alpha_i < t\}$ contains t^{n-1} elements. Let $c := 2(d + j_n)(|\sigma(y_n)| + 1)$. Fix a natural number s such that $s > c + d$, and let $W_t := (s, s, \ldots, s, d) + C_t$ (recall that $C_t \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$) and $\Pi_t := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in W_t} Kx^\alpha$. For all elements $\alpha \in W_t$, $\sigma(H_n) * x^\alpha = (d + j_n + 1)x^\alpha$. It follows that the set $\Pi'_t := \sum_{k=1}^{d+j_n} \sigma(y_n^k) * \Pi_t$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{d+j_n} \sigma(y_n^k) * \Pi_t$, and $\Pi'_t \subseteq \Pi''_t := \sum_{\alpha\in W'_t} Kx^\alpha$ where $W'_t := ((s - c, \ldots, s - c) + \Pi_{t+2c}) \times \{0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}$. Comparing the leading terms of both ends of the inequality,

$$(d + j_n)t^{n-1} = \dim(\Pi'_t) \leq \dim(\Pi''_t) = d(t + 2c)^{n-1} = dt^{n-1} + \cdots \quad (t \geq 1),$$

we conclude that $j_n \leq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, all $j_i = 0$, and the proof of statement 2 is complete.

3. Let $\sigma \in \ker(\xi)$. Then $\xi(\sigma) = e \in \mathrm{im}(\xi) \cap \mathrm{Sh}_n = \{e\}$, and we keep the notation of the proof of statement 2 for the automorphism $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi$ (where all $j_i = 0$) . It remains to show that $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_n$. This is obvious since

$$\varphi = q(\{1, \ldots, n\}, d) + \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} \Big(\sum_{\alpha\in C_d(I)} \prod_{j\in I} \sigma(y_j^{d-\alpha_j}) \cdot \prod_{i\in I} x_i^{d-\alpha_i} \cdot E_{\alpha\alpha}(I)\Big)p(I, d)q(CI, d) \quad (60)$$

where $C_d(I) := \{(\alpha_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I \,|\, \text{all } \alpha_i < d\}$, $E_{\alpha\alpha}(I) := \prod_{i\in I} E_{\alpha_i\alpha_i}(i)$, and d is from (59). To prove that this formula holds for the map φ we have to show that $\varphi * x^\alpha = x'^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For each monomial x^α, let $I := \{i \,|\, \alpha_i < d\}$. Then $x^\alpha = \prod_{i\in I} x_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k^{\alpha_k}$ and, if $I \neq \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi * x^\alpha &= \prod_{j\in I} \sigma(y_j^{d-\alpha_j}) \cdot \prod_{i\in I} x_i^{d-\alpha_i} * \prod_{i\in I} x_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k^{\alpha_k} = \prod_{j\in I} \sigma(y_j^{d-\alpha_j}) * \prod_{i\in I} x_i^d \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k^{\alpha_k} \\
&= \prod_{j\in I} \sigma(y_j^{d-\alpha_j}) * x'^{(\sum_{i\in I} de_i + \sum_{k\in CI} \alpha_k e_k)} = x'^{(\sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i e_i + \sum_{k\in CI} \alpha_k e_k)} = x'^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

If $I = \emptyset$ then $\varphi * x^\alpha = q(\{1, \ldots, n\}, d) * x^\alpha = x^\alpha = x'^\alpha$. The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Corollary 7.3 *$\mathbb{G}_n \supseteq \mathbb{G}'_n := S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \ltimes \cdots \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}_{2^n-1 \text{ times}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\mathbb{Z})}$.*

Proof. Since (see Corollary 7.13) $\ker(\xi) \supseteq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) \supseteq \underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K) \ltimes \cdots \ltimes \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}_{2^n-1 \text{ times}}$, [11], the statement follows from Theorem 7.2.(1,3). □

Corollary 7.4 *Let $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi \in \ker(\xi)$ where $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_n^*$ is from (60). Then*

1. *$\varphi \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{a}_n$, i. e. $\varphi \in (1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, and so the element φ from (60) is the* unique *element φ' such that $\sigma = \sigma_{\varphi'}$ and $\varphi' \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{a}_n$; and*

2. $\ker(\xi) = \{\omega_\varphi \,|\, \varphi \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*\} \simeq (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, $\omega_\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$.

Proof. 1. By (60), $\varphi \equiv q(\{1,\ldots,n\},d) \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{a}_n$.
2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1. $\square$

The canonical presentation of $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. By Theorem 7.2.(1) and Corollary 7.4, each automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a *unique* product $st_\lambda\mu_u\omega_\varphi$ where $s \in S_n$, $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\mu_u \in \mathbb{U}_n$, and ω_φ is an inner automorphism of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ with $\varphi \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.

Definition. The unique product $\sigma = st_\lambda\mu_u\omega_\varphi$ is called the *canonical presentation* of σ.

Corollary 7.5 *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ and $\sigma = st_\lambda\mu_u\omega_\varphi$ be its canonical presentation. Then the automorphisms s, t_λ, μ_u, and ω_φ can be effectively (in finitely many steps) found from the action of the automorphism σ on the elements $\{H_i, x_i, y_i \,|\, i = 1,\ldots,n\}$:*

$$\sigma(H_i) \equiv H_{s(i)} \mod \mathfrak{a}_n, \;\; \sigma(x_i) \equiv x_{s(i)}\lambda_i s(u_i) \mod \mathfrak{a}_n,$$

and the element φ is given by the formula (60) for the automorphism $(st_\lambda\mu_u)^{-1}\sigma \in \ker(\xi)$.

A formula for the inverse map φ^{-1} where $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi \in \ker(\xi)$. For an automorphism $\sigma = \sigma_\varphi \in \ker(\xi)$, i.e. $\varphi \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is as in (60), we are going to produce a formula for the inverse φ^{-1} (see (61)) which is the most difficult part in finding the inversion formula (62) for $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Since $\varphi : P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha \simeq P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx'^\alpha$ and $x'^\alpha = \varphi(x^\alpha)$, for each element $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the projection $E'_{\alpha\alpha}$ onto the summand Kx'^α is equal to $\varphi E_{\alpha\alpha}\varphi^{-1} = \sigma(E_{\alpha\alpha})$. For each subset I of the set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, let

$$P'_n(I,d) := \varphi(P_n(I,d)) = \bigoplus\{Kx'^\alpha \,|\, \alpha_i < d \text{ if } i \in I; \alpha_j \geq d \text{ if } j \in CI\}.$$

Since $\varphi : P_n = \bigoplus P_n(I,d) \simeq P_n = \bigoplus P'_n(I,d)$, the projections onto the summand $P'_n(I,d)$ is equal to

$$\varphi p(I,d)q(I,d)\varphi^{-1} = \sigma(p(I,d)q(I,d)) = \sigma(p(I,d))\sigma(q(I,d)) = p'(I,d)q'(I,d)$$

where $p'(I,d) := \sigma(p(I,d))$ and $q'(I,d) := \sigma(q(I,d))$.

The inverse map φ^{-1} of the map φ from (60) is given by the rule:

$$\varphi^{-1} = q'(\{1,\ldots,n\},d) + \sum_{\emptyset\neq I\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}} (\sum_{\alpha\in C_d(I)} \prod_{j\in I} y_j^{d-\alpha_j} \cdot \prod_{i\in I} \sigma(x_i)^{d-\alpha_i} \cdot E'_{\alpha\alpha}(I))p'(I,d)q'(CI,d) \tag{61}$$

where $E'_{\alpha\alpha}(I) := \prod_{i\in I} E'_{\alpha_i\alpha_i}(i)$ and $E'_{jj}(i) := \sigma(E_{jj}(i)) = \sigma(x_i)^j\sigma(y_i)^j - \sigma(x_i)^{j+1}\sigma(y_i)^{j+1}$; $p'(I,d) := \prod_{i\in I} p'(i,d)$ and $p'(i,d) := \sigma(p(i,d)) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} E'_{jj}(i)$; $q'(CI,d) := \sigma(q(CI,d)) = \prod_{i\in CI}(1 - p'(d,i))$. Let ψ be the RHS of (61). We have to show that $\psi : x'^\alpha \to x^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Fix α, and let $I := \{i \,|\, \alpha_i < d\}$. Then $x'^\alpha = \prod_{i\in I} x_i'^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k'^{\alpha_k}$ and, if $I \neq \emptyset$ then, by Lemma 7.1.(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi * x'^\alpha &= \prod_{j\in I} y_j^{d-\alpha_j} \cdot \prod_{i\in I} \sigma(x_i)^{d-\alpha_i} * \prod_{i\in I} x_i'^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k'^{\alpha_k} = \prod_{j\in I} y_j^{d-\alpha_j} * \prod_{i\in I} x_i'^{d} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k'^{\alpha_k} \\ &= \prod_{j\in I} y_j^{d-\alpha_j} * \prod_{i\in I} x_i^{d} \cdot \prod_{k\in CI} x_k^{\alpha_k} = x^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If $I \neq \emptyset$ then $\psi * x'^\alpha = q'(\{1,\ldots,n\},d) * x'^\alpha = x'^\alpha = x^\alpha$. This finishes the proof of (61).

An inversion formula for $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ and $\sigma = st_\lambda\mu_u\omega_\varphi$ be its canonical presentation. Then the inversion formula for σ^{-1} is given by rule:

$$\sigma^{-1} = s^{-1}t_{s(\lambda^{-1})}\mu_{s(u^{-1})}\omega_{st_\lambda\mu_u(\varphi^{-1})}, \tag{62}$$

this is the canonical presentation for the automorphism σ^{-1} where φ^{-1} is given by (61). Indeed,

$$\sigma^{-1}=s^{-1}\cdot s(t_\lambda\mu_u)^{-1}s^{-1}\cdot(st_\lambda\mu_u)\omega_{\varphi^{-1}}(st_\lambda\mu_u)^{-1}=s^{-1}t_{s(\lambda^{-1})}\mu_{s(u^{-1})}\omega_{st_\lambda\mu_u(\varphi^{-1})}.$$

The groups $\mathbb{G}_n$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.

Theorem 7.6 $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=\mathbb{U}_n^0\ltimes\ker(\xi)\simeq\mathbb{U}_n^0\ltimes(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the equality since then the isomorphism holds, by Corollary 7.4.(2). Since $\mathbb{G}_n=S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)\ltimes\ker(\xi)$ and $\ker(\xi)\subseteq\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ (Theorem 7.2.(1,3)), we see that $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=\mathcal{I}\ltimes\ker(\xi)$ where $\mathcal{I}:=\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)\cap S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)$. Since $\xi:S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)\simeq S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_n))$, we have $\xi:\mathcal{I}\simeq\xi(\mathcal{I})$. By the very definition of the group $\mathcal{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(\mathcal{I}) &\subseteq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_n)\bigcap S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_n))\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\bigcap S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_n))\ \ (\text{Theorem 6.1.(3)})\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\bigcap\mathbb{T}^n\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_n)\ \ (\text{Theorem 6.1.}(1,3))\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\bigcap\prod_{i=1}^n(\mathbb{T}^1(i)\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_1(i)))\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n(\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{A}_1(i))\bigcap(\mathbb{T}^1(i)\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_1(i))))\\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n\xi(\mathbb{U}_1^0(i))\ \ (\text{Theorem 5.5.(3)})\\
&= \xi(\prod_{i=1}^n\mathbb{U}_1^0(i))=\xi(\mathbb{U}_n^0),
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathcal{I}=\mathbb{U}_n^0$ since $\mathcal{I},\mathbb{U}_n^0\subseteq S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)$ and $\xi:S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)\simeq S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\xi(\mathbb{U}_n))$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=\mathbb{U}_n^0\ltimes\ker(\xi)$. $\square$

Theorem 7.7 $\mathbb{G}_n=S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\Xi_n)\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.

Proof. The statement follows from two facts: $\mathbb{G}_n=S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\Xi_n\times\mathbb{U}_n^0)\ltimes\ker(\xi)$ (Theorem 7.2.(1)) and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=\mathbb{U}_n^0\ltimes\ker(\xi)$ (Theorem 7.6). $\square$

Corollary 7.8 $\mathrm{Out}(\mathbb{A}_n)\simeq S_n\ltimes(\mathbb{T}^n\times\Xi_n)$.

Recall that the set $\mathcal{H}_1$ of height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is $\{\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_n\}$. The next corollary describes its stabilizer,

$$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathcal{H}_1):=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{G}_n\,|\,\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_1)=\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_n)=\mathfrak{p}_n\}.$$

Corollary 7.9 $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathcal{H}_1)=(\mathbb{T}^n\times\Xi_n)\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=(\mathbb{T}^n\times\mathbb{U}_n)\ltimes\ker(\xi)$.

Proof. The second equality is obvious since $\mathbb{U}_n=\Xi_n\times\mathbb{U}_n^0$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)=\mathbb{U}_n^0\ltimes\ker(\xi)$ (Theorem 7.6). It remains to show that the first equality holds, that is $S=R$ where $S:=\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $R:=(\mathbb{T}^n\times\Xi_n)\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$. The inclusion $R\subseteq S$ follows from (30) and (37). Clearly, $S\cap S_n=\{e\}$. By Theorem 7.7, $S=S\cap\mathbb{G}_n=S\cap(S_n\ltimes R)=(S\cap S_n)\cdot R=\{e\}\cdot R=R$. $\square$

The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n):=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{G}_n\,|\,\sigma(\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha})=\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha}$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n\}$ be the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ that respect the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading, and $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1,\ldots,H_n):=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{G}_n\,|\,\sigma(H_1)=H_1,\ldots,\sigma(H_n)=H_n\}$.

Corollary 7.10 1. $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \dots, H_n) = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$.

2. $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n) = \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \dots, H_n)$.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n \subseteq S := \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \dots, H_n)$ and $S \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ since $\mathbb{A}_{n,\alpha} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{\mathbb{A}_n}(\mathrm{ad}(H_i) - \alpha_i)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. To finish the proof of both statements it remains to show that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}^n-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$. For each number $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\mathbb{A}_{n,e_i} = x_i \mathbb{D}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_{n,-e_i} = \mathbb{D}_n y_i$, and so $\sigma(x_i) = x_i u_i$ and $\sigma(y_i) = v_i y_i$ for some elements $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{D}_n$ such that $1 = \sigma(1) = \sigma(y_i x_i) = v_i y_i x_i u_i = v_i u_i$, and so $v_i = u_i^{-1}$ (as $\mathbb{D}_n$ is a commutative algebra). Now, $\mu_u^{-1}\sigma(x_i) = x_i$ for all i where $\mu_u \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. By Theorem 4.12, $\mu_u^{-1}\sigma = e$, and so $\sigma = \mu_u \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$, as required. $\square$

The inner canonical presentation of $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. By Theorem 7.7, each automorphism σ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a *unique* product $st_\lambda \mu_{H^\alpha} \omega_w$ where $s \in S_n$, $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\mu_{H^\alpha} \in \Xi_n$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$), and $\omega_w \in \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ where $w \in \mathcal{H}_n^0 \ltimes (1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, by Theorem 7.6, Corollary 7.4.(2), and (41).

Definition. The unique product $\sigma = st_\lambda \mu_{H^\alpha} \omega_w$ is called the *inner canonical presentation* of σ.

Corollary 7.11 shows how to find the inner canonical presentation of σ effectively (in finitely many steps) via the elements $\{\sigma(H_i), \sigma(x_i), \sigma(y_i) \,|\, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. First, we need to introduce more notation. For each number $i = 1, \dots, n$, the map

$$\psi_i : \mathcal{H}_1(i) \to \mathcal{H}_1^0(i), \;\; u \mapsto \frac{\tau_i(u)}{u},$$

is a group isomorphism where $\tau_i((H_i + j)_*) = (H_i + j + 1)_*$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $(H_i + j)_* := \begin{cases} (H_i + j)_1 & \text{if } j < 0, \\ H_i + j & \text{if } j \geq 0. \end{cases}$. The inverse map ψ_i^{-1} is given by the formula

$$\psi_i^{-1}(\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (H_i + j)_*^{n_{ij}}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (H_i + j)_*^{\sum_{k > j} n_{ik}}. \tag{63}$$

Therefore, the map $\psi := \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i : \mathcal{H}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1(i) \to \mathcal{H}_n^0 = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_1^0(i)$ is a group isomorphism and the inverse map $\psi^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{-1}$ is determined by (63). Let us show that

$$\mu_{\psi(u)} = \omega_u, \;\; u \in \mathbb{U}_n. \tag{64}$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\omega_u(x_i) = u x_i u^{-1} = x_i \frac{\tau_i(u)}{u} = x_i \frac{\tau_i(u_i)}{u_i} = x_i \psi_i(u_i) = \mu_{\psi(u)}(x_i)$ where $u = \prod_{i=1}^n u_i$, and $u_i \in \mathcal{H}_1(i)$. Therefore, $\omega_u = \mu_{\psi(u)}$, by Theorem 4.12.

Corollary 7.11 *Let* $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ *and* $\sigma = st_\lambda \mu_u \omega_\varphi$ *be its canonical presentation (Lemma 7.5),* $u = H^\alpha v$ *where* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $v \in \mathcal{H}_n^0$. *Then* $\sigma = st_\lambda \mu_{H^\alpha} \omega_{\psi^{-1}(v)\varphi}$ *is the inner canonical presentation of* σ.

Proof. By (64), $\mu_v = \omega_{\psi^{-1}(v)}$. Then $\sigma = st_\lambda \mu_{H^\alpha} \mu_v \omega_\varphi = st_\lambda \mu_{H^\alpha} \omega_{\psi^{-1}(v)\varphi}$. $\square$

The groups $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ and $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and their centres. Since $\mathbb{S}_n \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n$, we have the inclusion $\mathbb{S}_n^* \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n^*$.

Theorem 7.12 (Theorem 4.4, [6])

1. $\mathbb{A}_n^* = K^* \times (\mathcal{H}_n \ltimes (1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*)$ *where the group* $\mathcal{H}_n$ *is defined in (34) and* $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ *is the group of units of* $\mathbb{A}_n$ *the type* $1 + a$ *for some element* $a \in \mathfrak{a}_n$.

2. *The centre of the group* $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ *is* K^*.

As a consequence of this theorem we obtain a description of the group $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ of units of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (Corollary 7.13) which is used in finding explicit generators for the group G_n in [13].

Corollary 7.13 *1. $\mathbb{S}_n^* = K^* \times (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ where the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is the sum of all the height 1 prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (see (8)).*

2. The centre of the group $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ is K^*, and the centre of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is $\{1\}$.

3. The map $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* \to \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, $u \mapsto \omega_u$, is a group isomorphism.

Proof. We denote, for a moment, the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ by $\mathfrak{a}_n'$ in order to distinguish it from the only maximal ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_n' \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$, and, by (8), $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n' = L_n \subset \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$, we have the equality $\mathfrak{a}_n' = \mathbb{S}_n \cap \mathfrak{a}_n$ which implies the equality $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n')^* = \mathbb{S}_n \cap (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \mathbb{S}_n^* \cap (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$. Since $(\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n')^* \cap (\mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n)^* \cap (K^* \times \xi(\mathcal{H}_n)) = K^*$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}_n^* &= \mathbb{S}_n^* \cap \mathbb{A}_n^* = \mathbb{S}_n^* \cap (K^* \times (\mathcal{H}_n \ltimes (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*)) = K^* \times (\mathbb{S}_n^* \cap (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*)\\ &= K^* \times (1+\mathfrak{a}_n')^*.\end{aligned}$$

2. By statement 1, it suffices to show that $Z((1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*) = \{1\}$. For $n=1$, this was done in [11], Theorem 4.6. So, let $n>1$, and we use induction on n. Let $u \in Z((1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*)$. For each integer $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the element $u+\mathfrak{p}_i$ belongs to the centre of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Note that

$$\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i} \subset K(x_i) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i} \ \text{ where } \ \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i} := \bigotimes_{j\neq i}^{n} \mathbb{S}_1(j).$$

Therefore, $u+\mathfrak{p}_i \in Z(K(x_i)\otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i}) \cap K[x_i,x_i^{-1}]\otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i} = K(x_i)^* \cap K[x_i,x_i^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1,i} = K[x_i,x_i^{-1}]^*$. Since $u \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, we see that $u \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all i, and so $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (1+\mathfrak{p}_i) \cap (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = (1+F_n) \cap (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = (1+F_n)^*$. Since $(1+F_n)^* \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ and $Z(\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)) = \{1\}$, we see that $u=1$ since $u \in Z(\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K))$.

3. Statement 3 follows from statement 2. $\square$

In the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$, there are elements that are invertible linear maps on P_n but not units of the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{A}_n$ as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 7.14 *$\mathbb{S}_n^* \subsetneqq \mathbb{S}_n \cap \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ and $\mathbb{A}_n^* \subsetneqq \mathbb{A}_n \cap \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$.*

Proof. The element $u := \prod_{i=1}^n (1-y_i) \in \mathbb{S}_n \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n$ belongs to $\mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$, see below, but $u \notin \mathbb{A}_n^*$ (hence $u \notin \mathbb{S}_n^*$) since the element $u + \mathfrak{a}_n$ is not a unit of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$. To prove that the inclusion $u \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ holds it suffices to show this for $n=1$ since $P_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K[x_i]$. The kernel of the linear map u is equal to zero since $(1-y)*p = 0$ for an element $p \in K[x]$ implies that $p = y*p = y^2*p = \cdots = y^s*p = 0$ for all $s \gg 0$ (y is a locally nilpotent map). The map u is surjective since for each element $q \in K[x]$ there exists a natural number, say t, such that $y^t * q = 0$, and so $q = (1-y^t)*q = u(1+y+\cdots+y^{t-1})*q$. Therefore, $u \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$. $\square$

An inversion formula for $\sigma \in G_n$. Recall that $G_n \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$ (Proposition 4.9). By Proposition 4.9.(1) and Corollary 7.13, each element $\sigma \in G_n$ is a unique product $\sigma = st_\lambda\omega_\varphi$ where $s \in S_n$, $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^n$, and $\varphi \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ ($\mathfrak{a}_n$ is from Corollary 7.13).

Definition. The unique product $\sigma = st_\lambda\omega_\varphi$ is called the *canonical presentation* for σ. This is also the canonical presentation for the automorphism σ treated as an element of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$.

By (62), the inversion formula for σ^{-1} is given by the rule:

$$\sigma^{-1} = s^{-1} t_{s(\lambda^{-1})} \omega_{st_\lambda(\varphi^{-1})}, \tag{65}$$

this is the canonical presentation for the automorphism σ^{-1} where φ^{-1} is given by (61).

The next theorem shows that the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ has trivial centre.

Theorem 7.15 *The centre of the group $\mathbb{G}_n$ is $\{e\}$.*

Proof. The centre of the group $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ is K^* (Theorem 7.12). For each element $u \in \mathbb{A}_n^*$, let ω_u be the inner automorphism determined by the unit u. Let $\sigma \in Z := Z(\mathbb{G}_n)$. We have to show that $\sigma = e$. For all elements $u \in \mathbb{A}_n^*$, $\omega_u = \sigma\omega_u\sigma^{-1} = \omega_{\sigma(u)}$, and so $\sigma(u) = \lambda u$ for some element $\lambda = \lambda_u \in K^*$. In particular, $\sigma(H_i) = \lambda_i H_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By Theorem 7.2.(1), the automorphism σ is a unique product $\sigma = s\tau$ where $s \in S_n$ and $\tau \in (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$. By Corollary 7.5, $\sigma(H_i) \equiv H_{s(i)} \mod \mathfrak{a}_n$. Hence, $\sigma(H_i) = H_i$ for all i. Then $\sigma \in \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \ldots, H_n) = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n$ (Corollary 7.10.(1)). Note that $1 + E_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{A}_n^*$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Hence

$$1 + \sigma(E_{\alpha\beta}) = \sigma(1 + E_{\alpha\beta}) = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(1 + E_{\alpha\beta})$$

for a nonzero scalar $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$. By Lemma 4.1, $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ and $\sigma(E_{\alpha\beta}) = E_{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. By Corollary 4.6, $\sigma = e$, as required. $\square$

Let H be a subgroup of a group G. The *centralizer* $\mathrm{Cen}_G(H) := \{g \in G \,|\, gh = hg \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ of H in G is a subgroup of G. In the proof of Theorem 7.15, we have used only inner derivations of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. So, in fact, we have proved there the next corollary.

Corollary 7.16 $\mathrm{Cen}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)) = \{e\}$.

Theorem 7.17 1. *The centre of the group* $\ker(\xi)$ *is* $\{e\}$.

2. *The centre of the group* $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ *is* $\{1\}$.

Proof. 1. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the element $u(\alpha) := 1 + E_{\alpha 0}$ belongs to the group $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$. Therefore, the inner automorphism $\omega_{u(\alpha)}$ is an element of $\ker(\xi)$. Let σ be an element of the centre of the group $\ker(\xi)$. We have to show that $\sigma = e$. Note that $\omega_{u(\alpha)} = \sigma\omega_{u(\alpha)}\sigma^{-1} = \omega_{\sigma(u(\alpha))}$, and so $\sigma(u(\alpha)) = \lambda_\alpha u(\alpha)$ for a scalar $\lambda_\alpha \in K^*$ since $Z(\mathbb{A}_n^*) = K^*$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\lambda_\alpha = 1$ and $\sigma(E_{\alpha 0}) = E_{\alpha 0}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. By Corollary 4.6, $\sigma = e$.

2. By Corollary 7.4.(2), the groups $\ker(\xi)$ and $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ are isomorphic. Therefore, the centre of the group $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is $\{1\}$, by statement 1. $\square$

Non-embeddability of the proper prime factor algebras of $\mathbb{A}_n$ into $\mathbb{A}_n$. We are going to prove an 'analogue' of Theorem 5.10 for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Theorem 7.18).

Theorem 7.18 *No proper prime factor algebra of $\mathbb{A}_n$ can be embedded into $\mathbb{A}_n$ (that is, for each nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, there is no algebra monomorphism from $\mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{p}$ into $\mathbb{A}_n$).*

Proof. By Corollary 3.5, [6], $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{i_s}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_s$. Suppose that there is a monomorphism $f : \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{p} \to \mathbb{A}_n$, we seek a contradiction. For each element $a \in \mathbb{A}_n$, let $\overline{a} := a + \mathfrak{p}$. Note that, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, $\overline{y}_i\overline{x}_i = 1$ and $\overline{x}_i\overline{y}_i = \overline{1 - E_{00}(i)} = 1$ since $E_{00}(i) \in \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The elements $\{\overline{x}_i, \overline{y}_i, \overline{H}_i^{\pm 1} \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ are units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{p}$, hence their images under the map f are units of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$, $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{a}_n$. By Theorem 7.12, the elements $\{\pi f(\overline{x}_i), \pi f(\overline{y}_i), \pi f(\overline{H}_i^{\pm 1}) \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ belong to the commutative algebra $\mathcal{L}_n$, and so the image of the simple, noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_s := \mathbb{A}_s/\mathfrak{a}_s$ under the compositions of homomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_s := \mathbb{A}_s/\mathfrak{a}_s \to \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$ belong to the commutative algebra $\mathcal{L}_n$, a contradiction (the algebra $\mathcal{A}_s$ is generated by the elements $x_i + \mathfrak{a}_s$, $y_i + \mathfrak{a}_s$, $H_i^{\pm 1} + \mathfrak{a}_s$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$). $\square$

Question. Is an algebra endomorphism of $\mathbb{A}_n$ necessarily a monomorphism (automorphism)?

8 Stabilizers in $\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ of the ideals of $\mathbb{A}_n$

In this section, for each nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ its stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{G_n}(\mathfrak{a}) := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n \,|\, \sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}\}$ is found (Theorem 8.2) and it is shown that the stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a})$ has finite index in the group

$\mathbb{G}_n$ (Corollary 8.3). When the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is either prime or generic, this result can be refined even further (Corollary 8.4, Corollary 8.5). In particular, when $n > 1$ the stabilizer of each height 1 prime of $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$ of index n (Corollary 8.4.(1)). It is shown that the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is the only nonzero, prime, $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Corollary 8.4.(3)).

An ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{A}_n$ is called a *proper* ideal if $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0, \mathbb{A}_n$. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$, $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the set of all the minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$. Two ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are called *incomparable* if neither $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ nor $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. The ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ are classified in [6]. The next theorem shows that each ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is completely determined by its minimal primes. We use this theorem in the proof of Theorem 8.2.

Theorem 8.1 (Theorem 3.8, [6]) *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a finite non-empty set, and the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is a unique product and a unique intersection of incomparable prime ideals of $\mathbb{A}_n$ (uniqueness is up to permutation). Moreover,*

$$a = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let Sub_n be the set of all the subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Sub_n is a partially ordered set with respect to '$\subseteq$'. Let SSub_n be the set of all the subsets of Sub_n. An element $\{X_1, \ldots, X_s\}$ of SSub_n is called *incomparable* if for all $i \neq j$ such that $1 \leq i, j \leq s$ neither $X_i \subseteq X_j$ nor $X_i \supseteq X_j$. An empty set and one element set are called incomparable by definition. Let Inc_n be the subset of SSub_n of all the incomparable elements of SSub_n. The symmetric group S_n acts in the obvious way on the sets SSub_n and Inc_n ($\sigma \cdot \{X_1, \ldots, X_s\} = \{\sigma(X_1), \ldots, \sigma(X_s)\}$).

Theorem 8.2 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then*

$$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$$

where $\mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})) := \{\sigma \in S_n \,|\, \sigma(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})\}$. Moreover, if $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ and, for each number $t = 1, \ldots, s$, $\mathfrak{q}_t = \sum_{i\in I_t} \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some subset I_t of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ then the group $\mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}))$ is the stabilizer in the group S_n of the element $\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}$ of SSub_n.

Remark. Note that the group

$$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}) := \{\sigma \in S_n \,|\, \{\sigma(I_1), \ldots, \sigma(I_s)\} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}\}$$

(and also the group $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a})$) can be effectively computed in finitely many steps.

Proof. Recall that each nonzero prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a unique sum of height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. By Theorem 8.1, $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathcal{H}_1) = (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$ (Corollary 7.9). Since $\mathbb{G}_n = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$ (Theorem 7.2.(1)) and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n) = \mathbb{U}_n^0 \ltimes \ker(\xi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a}) &= (\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap S_n) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathfrak{a}) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \\ &= \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathfrak{a}) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 8.1, $\mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\})$, and the statement follows. $\square$

The *index* of a subgroup H in a group G is denoted by $[G : H]$.

Corollary 8.3 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal of $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then $[\mathbb{G}_n : \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{a})] = |S_n : \mathrm{St}_{S_n}(\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}))| < \infty$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.2.(1) and Theorem 8.2. $\square$

Corollary 8.4 *1. $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \simeq S_{n-1} \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \simeq S_{n-1} \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, if $n > 1$ then the groups $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ are maximal subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_n$ with $[\mathbb{G}_n : \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i)] = n$ (if $n = 1$ then $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_1}(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathbb{G}_1$, see statement 3).*

2. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a nonzero prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $h = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ be its height. Then $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}) \simeq (S_h \times S_{n-h}) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \simeq (S_h \times S_{n-h}) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$.

3. *The ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is the only nonzero, prime, $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.*

4. *Suppose that $n > 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a nonzero prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then its stabilizer $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$ iff the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is of height one.*

Proof. 1. Clearly, $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \cap S_n = \{\tau \in S_n \,|\, \tau(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i\} \simeq S_{n-1}$. By Theorem 8.2, $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = S_{n-1} \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi)$. When $n > 1$, the group $\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_n$ since

$$S_{n-1} \simeq \mathrm{St}_{G_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i)/(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \subseteq G_n/(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \simeq S_n$$

and $S_{n-1} = \{\sigma \in S_n \,|\, \sigma(i) = i\}$ is a maximal subgroup of S_n. Clearly, $[\mathbb{G}_n : \mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}_i)] = [S_n : S_{n-1}] = n$.

2. By Corollary 3.5, [6], $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{i_h}$ for some distinct indices $i_1, \ldots, i_h \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_h\}$ and CI be its complement. Statement 2 follows from Theorem 8.2 and the fact that

$$\mathrm{St}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap S_n = \{\sigma \in S_n \,|\, \sigma(I) = I, \sigma(CI) = CI\} \simeq S_h \times S_{n-h}.$$

3. Since $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$, statement 3 follows from statement 2.

4. Statement 4 follows from statement 1 and 2. $\square$

We are going to apply Theorem 8.2 to find the stabilizers of the generic ideals (see Corollary 8.5) but first we recall the definition of the *wreath product* $A \wr B$ of finite groups A and B. The set $\mathrm{Fun}(B, A)$ of all functions $f : B \to A$ is a group: $(fg)(b) := f(b)g(b)$ for all $b \in B$ where $g \in \mathrm{Fun}(B, A)$. There is a group homomorphism

$$B \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Fun}(B, A)), \; b_1 \mapsto (f \mapsto b_1(f) : b \mapsto f(b_1^{-1}b)).$$

Then the semidirect product $\mathrm{Fun}(B, A) \rtimes B$ is called the *wreath product* of the groups A and B denoted $A \wr B$, and so the product in $A \wr B$ is given by the rule:

$$f_1 b_1 \cdot f_2 b_2 = f_1 b_1(f_2) b_1 b_2, \;\text{ where }\; f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{Fun}(B, A), \;\; b_1, b_2 \in B.$$

Recall that each nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a unique sum $\mathfrak{p} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$ of height one prime ideals. The set $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}) := \{\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, i \in I\}$ is called the *support* of $\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition. We say that a proper ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{A}_n$ is *generic* if $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{q}) = \emptyset$ for all $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$.

Corollary 8.5 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a generic ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$. The set $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ of minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$ is the disjoint union of its non-empty subsets, $\mathrm{Min}_{h_1}(\mathfrak{a}) \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \mathrm{Min}_{h_t}(\mathfrak{a})$, where $1 \leq h_1 < \cdots < h_t \leq n$ and the set $\mathrm{Min}_{h_i}(\mathfrak{a})$ contains all the minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$ of height h_i. Let $n_i := |\mathrm{Min}_{h_i}(\mathfrak{a})|$. Then*

$$\mathrm{St}_{G_n}(\mathfrak{a}) = (S_m \times \prod_{i=1}^{t} (S_{h_i} \wr S_{n_i})) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{U}_n) \ltimes \ker(\xi) \simeq (S_m \times \prod_{i=1}^{t} (S_{h_i} \wr S_{n_i})) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^n \times \Xi_n) \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{A}_n)$$

where $m = n - \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i h_i$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ and the sets $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ are defined in Theorem 8.2. Since the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is generic, the sets $I_1, \ldots, I_s$ are disjoint. By Theorem 8.2, we have to show that

$$\mathrm{St}_{S_m}(\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\}) \simeq S_m \times \prod_{i=1}^{t} (S_{h_i} \wr S_{n_i}). \tag{66}$$

The ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is generic, and so the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{t} M_i$ of its subsets where $M_i := \bigcup_{|I_j| = h_i} I_j$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, and M_0 is the complement of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} M_i$. Let $S(M_i)$ be the symmetric group corresponding to the set M_i (i.e. the set of all bijections $M_i \to M_i$). Then each element $\sigma \in \mathrm{St}_{G_n}(\{I_1, \ldots, I_s\})$ is a unique product $\sigma = \sigma_0\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t$ where $\sigma_i \in S(M_i)$. Moreover, σ_0 can be an arbitrary element of $S(M_0) \simeq S_m$, and, for $i \neq 0$, the element σ_i permutes the sets $\{I_j \,|\, |I_j| = h_i\}$ and simultaneously permutes the elements inside each of the sets I_j, i.e. $\sigma_i \in S_{h_i} \wr S_{n_i}$. Now, (66) is obvious. $\Box$

Corollary 8.6 *For each number $s = 1, \ldots, n$, let $\mathfrak{b}_s := \prod_{|I|=s}(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i)$ where I runs through all the subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that contain exactly s elements. The ideals $\mathfrak{b}_s$ are the only proper, $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.2, the ideals $\mathfrak{b}_s$ are $\mathbb{G}_n$-invariant, and they are proper. The converse follows at once from the classification of ideals for the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Theorem 8.1) and Theorem 8.2. $\Box$

References

[1] J. Alev, M. A. Farinati, T. Lambre and A. L. Solotar, Homology of the invariants of a Weyl algebra under a finite group action, *J. Algebra* **232** (2000), no. 2, 564–577.

[2] V. A. Artamonov and P. M. Cohn, The skew field of rational functions on the quantum plane. Algebra, 11. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **93** (1999), no. 6, 824–829.

[3] V. V. Bavula, Finite-dimensionality of Ext^n and Tor_n of simple modules over a class of algebras. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** (1991), no. 3, 229–230 .

[4] V. V. Bavula, Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations, *Algebra i Analiz* **4** (1992), no. 1, 75-97; English transl. in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4** (1993), no. 1, 71–92.

[5] V. V. Bavula, A question of Rentschler and the Dixmier Problem, *Annals of Mathematics* **154** (2001), 683–702.

[6] V. V. Bavula, The Jacobian algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **213** (2009), 664-685. Arxiv:math.RA/0704.3850.

[7] V. V. Bavula, The inversion formula for automorphisms of the Weyl algebras and polynomial algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 147-159. ArXiv:math.RA/0512215.

[8] V. V. Bavula, The group of order preserving automorphisms of the ring of differential operators on a Laurent polynomial algebra in prime characteristic, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 1891-1898. Arxiv:math.RA/0806.1038.

[9] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the first Weyl algebra in prime characteristic and the restriction map, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **5** (2009), 263-274. Arxiv:math.RA/0708.1620.

[10] V. V. Bavula, The algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 1874–1897. ArXiv:math.AG/0903.3049.

[11] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, ArXiv:math.AG/0903.3049.

[12] V. V. Bavula, $\mathrm{K}_1(\mathbb{S}_1)$ and the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_2$ of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra in two variables, *Journal of K-theory and its Appl. to Algebra, Geometry, Analysis and Topology*, to appear (Arxiv:math:AG/0906.0600).

[13] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, II, ArXiv:math.AG/0906.3739.

[14] V. V. Bavula and D. Jordan, Isomorphism problem and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras, *Tr. AMS* **353** (2001), no. 2, 769–794.

[15] V. V. Bavula and T. H. Lenagan, Krull dimension of generalized Weyl algebras with noncommutative coefficients. *J. Algebra*, 235 (2001), no. 1, 315–358.

[16] V. V. Bavula and T. H. Lenagan, Generalized Weyl algebras are tensor Krull minimal. *J. Algebra*, 239 (2001), no. 1, 93–111.

[17] V. V. Bavula and F. van Oystaeyen, Krull dimension of generalized Weyl algebras and iterated skew polynomial rings: commutative coefficients. *J. Algebra*, 208 (1998), no. 1, 1–34.

[18] V. V. Bavula and F. van Oystaeyen, Simple holonomic modules over the second Weyl algebra A_2. *Adv. Math.* 150 (2000), no. 1, 80–116.

[19] V. V. Bavula and F. van Oystaeyen, Simple holonomic modules over rings of differential operators with regular coefficients of Krull dimension 2. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001), no. 6, 2193–2214.

[20] V. Bekkert, G. Benkart and V. Futorny, Weight modules for Weyl algebras. Kac-Moody Lie algebras and related topics, 17–42, Contemp. Math., 343, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[21] G. Benkart and T. Roby, Down-up algebras, *J. Algebra*, **209** (1998), 305-344.

[22] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **96** (1968), 209–242.

[23] M. A. Farinati, A. Solotar, and M. Surez-lvarez, Hochschild homology and cohomology of generalized Weyl algebras. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **53** (2003), no. 2, 465–488.

[24] N. Jacobson, "Structure of rings,"Am. Math. Soc. Colloq., Vol. XXXVII (rev. ed.), Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.

[25] D. A. Jordan, Down-up algebras and ambiskew polynomial rings, *J. Algebra*, **228** (2000), no. 1, 311–346.

[26] A. Joseph, *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for algebras associated with the Weyl algebra*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **17** (1972), 325–336.

[27] J. Hartwig, Locally finite simple weight modules over twisted generalized Weyl algebras. *J. Algebra* **303** (2006), no. 1, 42–76.

[28] E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, Non-Noetherian Down-Up Algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **28** (2000), no. 11, 5255–5268.

[29] E. Kirkman, I. Musson, and D. S. Passman, Noetherian down-up algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), no. 11, 3161–3167.

[30] E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, Fixed subrings of Noetherian graded regular rings. *J. Algebra* **288** (2005), no. 2, 463–484.

[31] G. R. Krause and T.H. Lenagan, Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **22**, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2000.

[32] L. Makar-Limanov, On automorphisms of Weyl algebra, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **112** (1984), 359–363.

[33] V. Mazorchuk and L. Turowska, $*$-representations of twisted generalized Weyl constructions. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **5** (2002), no. 2, 163–186.

[34] M. Prest and G. Puninski, Pure injective envelopes of finite length modules over a generalized Weyl algebra. *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), no. 1, 150–177.

[35] L. Richard and A. Solotar, Isomorphisms between quantum generalized Weyl algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **5** (2006), no. 3, 271–285.

[36] A. Rosenberg, Noncommutative algebraic geometry and representations of quantized algebras. Kluwer Acad. Publ. Group, 1995.

[37] J. T. Stafford, Homological properties of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{sl}_2)$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **91** (1982), no. 1, 29–37.

[38] H. W. E. Jung, Uber ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **184** (1942), 161-174.

[39] W. Van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (3) **1** (1953), 33-41.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk